it will be done by Canadian labour; and the hon. gentleman adhere to it? I mainwe will have \$153,000 of the people's tain that he had no tender from the Amerimoney in the treasury, which would have can Bank Note Company at all. been thrown away if the Government had who knows anything of the character of the adopted the course which the hon. member tenders can pretend for a moment that the opposite has advocated to-day.

Sir CHARLES TUPPER. I am glad to pany. know that I shall be obliged to detain the not according to specifications; and if he House for a very short time, because any- had given them the answer he gave the body who has listened to the clear, succinct English contractors who wished to tender, and able indictment of the hon. Finance namely, that no change could be made in Minister by his predecessor (Mr. Foster) the specification, that it was a dishonest will admit that but very little need be said pretense to put forward specifications and in reply to the extremely lame and impotent then allow anyone to send in a tender en-defence which the Finance Minister under-tirely at variance with them and accept that took to make. The hon. gentleman com- tender, we would have heard no more about menced by charging Mr. Burland, who re- this contract with the American Bank Note presents the British American Bank Note Company. The only legitimate tender Company with being a monopolist, and the which the hon, gentleman had in his poslate Government with having regarded Mr. session was that of Mr. Burland, represent-Burland as entitled to a continued mono- ing the British American Bank Note Compoly. But in his very next sentence the pany. In every particular, as the hon. gen-hon. gentleman refuted his own charge. In theman himself admits, the terms of the the very next sentence he said that Mr. specifications were complied with exactly to Burland had applied for an extension of his the letter by Mr. Burland. Whereas, on the contract, and that my hon. friend (Mr. contrary, the tender sent in by the Ameri-Foster) had refused. My hon, friend would can Bank Note Company was one he was not permit Mr. Burland's contract to be ex- bound to reject entirely, because it contain-tended, but insisted on the contract being ed a most material and substantial change submitted, when the proper time came, to by stipulating that the work, instead of betender in the usual way. The hon. gentle- ing done in Ottawa, as the specifications reman thus himself conclusively proved that quired, should be done in New York. They the late Government entertained no such would not undertake to do the work in idea as that a monopoly existed in favour Ottawa, but insisted on doing it in New of Mr. Burland, but that, on the con-trary, they determined, when the proper man stands here to-night without the time came, to have the contract submitted shadow of foundation for the claim that he to open competition. So much for the charge, again and again reiterated by the fact, he had no lowest tender. As a matter of bon contlement that the late Compared to the work in the work in Ottawa, but insisted on doing it in New York. Therefore, I say that the hon, gentle-man stands here to-night without the shadow of foundation for the claim that he accepted the lowest tender. As a matter of hon. gentleman, that the late Government hon, gentleman was unable to make any treated this contract as a monopoly to variation to suit the which the Burland Bank Note Company ers, but to the American Bank was entitled. this Government gave wide and extended the specifications and conditions, accord-notices. He asks why did they go to Eng- ing to their own pleasure. Yet he calls land as well as to the United States. I their offer a tender. Why was not the tenland as well as to the United States. I their offer a tender. Why was not the ten-think that I can furnish the reason. If the der of the Barber-Ellis Company considered hon, gentleman had made up his mind the der of the Barber-Ellis Company considered hon. gentleman had made up his mind to give the work to an American company in agree that it was not a tender because it the city of New York, the best means he could possibly devise of covering such a cations, which required that \$50,000 should design was to make the pretense-for it can be put up with the Government as security be regarded as nothing else, considering the for the carrying out of the work. character of these specifications-of having tenders called for in England. But he knows that the moment he was asked the question whether these specifications were to be adhered to, the moment that Mr. Colmer, whom he had instructed to give notices of the specifications and call for tenders in England, asked whether these specifications were to be adhered to, the only answer he could give-unless the system of inviting tenders is to become a farce was that there could be no variation, and that everyone who tendered would be held to the exact terms of the specifications. to the exact terms of the specifications. specifications. I deny that he gave this That was a proper position to take, but did contract to the lowest tenderer, simply be-

No one hon. gentleman had an honest and legitimate tender from the American Bank Note Com-Why? Because their tender was English tender-Note The hon. gentleman says Company he could give latitude to vary as a tender by the hon. gentleman? T did not comply with the terms of his specifi-Thev did not put up the money, but they offered to give to the hon. gentleman personal security, to his own complete satisfaction, for the \$50,000, in addition to the \$50,000 which they would have to invest in the city of Ottawa in order to carry out the contract. The hon. gentleman knows that that approached much more nearly to a legitimate tender-although I admit it was not one-than the tender he had in his hands from the American Bank Note Company, who told him, on the face of that tender. that they were not prepared to accept his specifications. I deny that he gave this

Mr. FIELDING.