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Tho
s Mr. Livurier to accompany him in his On. tario tour. Mr. Lurier must be a brave politician, indeed, if he is not afraid to have Mr. Tarte as his companion in travel aud oratory. We know not what Mr. Laurier's gerous proximity to her own Indian terri. tory. The readiness of strong nations to bully the weak is not a pleasing characteristic of the times. Germany, France, the United States, even Great Britain herself, all in turn show themselves ready to take an attitude with weaker peoples, incapable of defending themselves, which is in strange contrast to the patience and deference they show to each other. Bnt, so far as can be judged from the imperfect information yet to hand, it is doubtful whether any other modern power has taken a weak people so unceremoniously and ruthlessly by the throat, as is now being done by France in the case of Siam. A little while ago there seemed some reason to hope chat the bitter national humiliation caused by the Panama scandal might have a salutary effect and lead to a great purgation in Parisian public life. But the incident has passed without serious change, and it is now painfully evident that the spirit of demagogery and national jealousy is still in the ascendant.

Ascording to late reports, Mr. Tarte says that ha has ac repted the invitation of
counted by hundreas of thousands. The report of the commissioners, who seem to be doing their work very thoroughly, will, no doubt, be an interesting document. Whether the punishment of the dishonest servants will be as inadequate, not to say farcical, as it has been in the case of most of those whose frauds were brought to light by the Parliamentary investigation two years ago, remains to be seen.

The situation created by the overbear. ing course of France in the Siam affair is, at the time of this writing, decidedly threatening. The result of interviews between Lord Duff rin and the French Min. ister of War is awaited with interest not unmixed with anxiety. So far as the question at issue relates to the alleged outrages committed upon French by Siamese officials, or even to legitimate questions of territorial delimitation, the British Government is not likely to feel called on to interfere, however they may disapprove of the arbitrary course of the Erench Ministry. But it seems imposssible that England can permit a wholesa'e seizure of Siamese territory by France, especially when such seizure would have the effect of bringing the French into dan-
idea may be in the matter, but it seem strange that after having been so eloquently non committal in regard to the burning question of the Manitoba schools, he should be willing to have his freedom compromised by his fiery lieutenant, Mr. Laurier, who is constantly declaring from the same platform, not only that the Catholic minority have a right to their separate schools, but that the Dominion Government has the power to act in the premises and restore those schools. The hope of the leaders of both parties, no doubt, is that the Supreme Court will dispose of the troublesome question, by deciding that the Federal Government cannot now interfere, but should the decision be the opposite, and should the Liberals come into power through the tariffreform agitation, Mr. Tarte's present utterances would make the situation very uncomfortable for Mr. Laurier. We assume, of course, that the latter understands the situation too well to suppose that the permanent imposition of either a separate school or a dual language system upon the new communities of the North West is out of the question, and that the attempt to interfere with the self-rule of these communities, in that respect would wreck any Government that could be formed by either party. The net result of Mr. Tarte's controversy with Archbishop Tache seems to be to make clear what everyone pretty well understood before, viz., that Mr. Chapleau gave "solemn pledges to the Archbishop, but took care to say that he was doing so in his individual capacity, not as a member of the Government." Whether a member of a responsible Government can properly make such a distinction is a question for political casuists.
"In armour plate we ard in the van, while in projectiles we certainly lead the world," exclaims a New York paper at the close of an account of a contest. between projectiles and armour plate which was made a week or two since, and which is declared to be suck as never before was witnessed in that or any other country. We have not sufficient knowledge of the exact character and results of ali the experiments of a similar kind which bave been made by other nations and especially by Great Britain to be able to judge as to the correctness of the boast, though very similar experiments are being continually made by other nations, with varying results, the victory leing now with the flates, again with the projectiles. The contest was be-

