MEREDITH, C.J.]

[July 14.

[July 20.

563

IN RE TORONTO, HAMILTON AND BUFFALO R. W. CO. AND BURKE. Railways-Arbitration-51 Vict., c. 29, sec. 150 (D.)-" Opposite party"-Mortgagor and mortgagee.

Certain land having been taken by the company for the purposes of the railway, an offer of a sum of money as compensation therefor was made to R_{max} Burke, the owner of the equity of redemption, and Farr, the mortgagee, jointly. The mortgagee accepted the offer, but the owner of the equity stood Out for a larger sum. Thereupon the company gave notice of arbitration under the ty the Dominion Railway Act, and appointed an arbitrator; Burke appointed an arking the transmission of the board arbitrator on his behaif : and the two so appointed named a third. The board thus thus constituted proceeded to take evidence; but the company, not being sating satisfied that the proceedings were regular, made a motion for an order appointing a sole arbitrator under the statute as in a case of default of appointment by the land-owner.

Held, that the words "opposite party" in sec. 150 of the Act, 51 Vict., c. 29 (D.), must be read distributively so as to include both mortgagor and more mortgagee, and that both not having concurred in the appointment of an arbitrator, the case was in the same position as if no arbitrator had been appointed by the land-owner; and an order was made appointing a sole arbitrator.

D'Arcy Tate, for the company. Teetzel, Q.C., for Burke. P. D. Crerar, for Farr.

Province of Manitoba.

QUEEN'S BENCH.

K_{ILLAM}, J.]

CLEMONS v. ST. ANDREWS.

Right of action-Declaration of right to compensation-Queen's Bench Act, 1895, sec. 38, s-s. 5-Costs of former action for same relief unpaid.

After the adverse decision against the plaintiff (noted ante, p. 297), and after the adverse decision against the plaintiff com-menced Queen's Bench Act, 1895, came into operation, the plaintiff commenced a new action, without payment of the costs of the former action, ask-ing for ing for a declaration of his right to compensation and damages under the same circumcircumstances as before, and basing his claim on sub-section 5 of section 38 of the A_{ct} the A_{ct} , which says that no action or proceeding shall be open to objection on the α_{ct} , which says that no action or proceeding shall be open to objection on the ground that a merely declaratory judgment or order is sought thereby, and the Course the Court may make binding declarations of right, whether any consequential relief : relief is or can be claimed, or not.

The referee, on defendants' application, ordered a stay of proceedings until the costs of the former action should be paid.

^{Plaintiff} appealed.