own development and its institutions are better adapted to the process of compromise among the diverse interests of its Venembers than to the expeditious conduct of international business. Moreover, the Community is greater than the sum of its parts and its creation and evolution force is to look at, and deal with, Europe in new ways. It has already a distinctive ompetence of its own, including very mportant international responsibilities, which, as time passes and plans for the conomic and monetary union come to naturity, will expand to cover an increaswhering segment of the spectrum of internato ional relations.

<sup>arer</sup> Inease in Canada

ersperor the moment, while the Community se furemains essentially a customs union, its nadiampact is basically commercial, but already contit casts long shadows that foretell the the simpending change of scale of the new s and urope. In Canada, the prospect of polari-Candation of the world economy causes une mos asiness, and we have the feeling that the in Enultilateral structure, which we found by threll adapted to our needs in the decades ffection the last war, is less certain as our forestartners coalesce into interest groups and ternal rading blocs. These changes in economic elations inevitably spill over into other y, is reas and affect the orientations and

vledgeriorities of foreign policy.

e them The process of European construcat owion itself has direct political consequences ons -h that the desire to protect itself from orth Axternal interference places contraints on is suffine relations the Community is willing to lation evelop with the industrial powers, even volenthe closest allies of its member countries. badly fore positively, its Mediterranean and al Exfrican policies, to be followed perhaps by pth in Middle Eastern policy, have strong poliexceptical overtones. This trend cannot but been acrease as the Community becomes more lations cure in itself and more certain of its exceptolicy orientations. Already it indicates andhat the Community is developing not ght only machinery but interests that will not minattecessarily be those with which we have ecome familiar in our dealings with the opean tember states. A major new element has rgementered the calculus of our foreign policy h the nd, in the short run at least, the danger is ives. hat it operates to weaken the bonds that of Eurave traditionally linked us with Europe. ind ad

e tradiew economic, political polarity

he mehe "Year of Europe", whatever its merits plicates policy, can be seen as a response to ative remonitions of a new economic and politiessarilal polarity in the world. It is much more, oblems course, but the aim of the proposed

declarations of policy and intent - a declaration by the Nine on the identity of the Community, by NATO on the Atlantic alliance and by the Nine and the United States on their relations - is the redefinition of these relationships to suit the new realities. Canada as a member of NATO is active in part of this process, and it has been suggested in Europe that we too might conclude a declaration with the Community. This opportunity to define our bilateral relationship deserves careful thought, for many ingredients enter into the judgment whether it would serve our common purposes well. One thing is sure major Canadian interests are involved.

Several articles in earlier editions of this journal have analyzed the options available to Canada in the present situation. All that need be said here is that these options relate to the nature and intensity of the relationships we might seek with the Community, especially compared to our other international activities and contacts. We do not have the option to forego a relationship with the Community. The Common Market is a fact of life with which we must deal. It is an active participant, in its own right, on the international scene. In trade negotiations, the Commission is the sole spokesman for the Nine. Trade agreements can no longer be concluded by the member countries but must be entered into with the Community as a whole. On any problem, general or specific, falling within the ambit of the Common Commercial Policy, the Common External Tariff or the Common Agricultural Policy, it is the Community that is sovereign and the Commission to which one must address oneself in the first instance.

As the Nine grope towards Community policies and jurisdiction in other fields, the subjects of negotiation and discourse with the Community will multiply; soon we may find it useful to discuss problems of economic and monetary management, of industrial and energy policy and of social and regional development with the Commission. Ultimately this will probably be as essential as the contacts we have already established on commercial policy questions.

The Community is as unprecedented in structure as it is in function, and the peculiar character of its institutions plays an important part in determining the nature and extent of its relationships with foreign countries. For current purposes, the two most important institutions are the Council and the Commission. The Council is composed of ministers from the member countries and has the power of decision on all questions not specially

Range of subjects for negotiation will broaden as Nine move toward policies in other fields