

Mr. R. J. Quigley, manager of the Company, and designer of the "snide cases," when questioned in the witness box as to why the Company made such goods, replied "because there was a demand for them"; in reply to why they did not make counterfeit money, he said there was no demand for it. This answer is worthy of a Tory cabinet minister, and when Mr. Tupper is making up his jewels (if he ever does) he should not overlook Mr. Quigley or his partner, Mr. W. K. McNaught, who, when asked why the Company had stamped "Warranted 14k." on watch cases that were only gold plated, replied that the Company intended to guarantee only the gold that was "on" the cases to be 14k., and that if the watch cases only contained 5c. worth of gold that was 14k. the Company guarantee would be fulfilled. Surely these gentlemen—McNaught, Quigley, and Ellis—are spiritual affinities of one Ananias.

It was shown that the National Policy was their moral curse, for the watch cases they manufactured under 17½ per cent. protection were not as dishonest as those made under the N. P., with its 35 per cent. protection; but under the 17½ per cent. the directors *did not*, as under the 35 per cent. draw \$8,000 a year in salaries and dividends or drive a carriage with a flunkey.

It was the latter part of 1895, 3 years after the claimed libel was published by W. F. Doll, before the Company succeeded in bringing the case before the judge of their "choice," and it is safe to say that had Mr. Doll been aware of Judge Rose's strong Tory leaning, and of the reported relationship said to exist between Judge Rose's father and the Rev. John N. Lake, President of the Company, he—Doll—would have been as anxious that Judge Rose should *not* hear the case as the Company were that he and no one else should hear it. Subsequent events demonstrated that the Company had calculated well.

The legal firm of Roaf, Currie & Gunther acted for the company against Doll, and here let me deviate from the point to show what a "funny set" this legal firm is, who pulled the strings so successfully for the company of bogus watch-case fakirs.

In July, '93, when the Queen, via the Toronto Police Court, assisted by Mr. Currie, of the "funny set," summoned Mr. W. K. McNaught, of the American Watch Case Co., for fraud, in selling bogus watch-cases, McNaught at once engaged the services of Mr. Roaf (the head and father of the "funny set") to defend him. Mr. Currie, the "stomach and bowels" of the "funny set," then went fishing, and left the prosecution of Mr. McNaught in the innocent hands of Mr. Gunther, the tail end of the "funny set," who, although acting for her "Gracious Ma-