

Routine Proceedings

government or a government agency control all forms of abuse in the media.

[English]

These petitioners request that abuse, be it physical, regarding language or otherwise, be controlled. They believe that it is not necessary to have different forms of abuse of television, radio or written media in order to entertain or to inform.

The abuse depicted is often counteracting their efforts to raise their families as they feel they ought to be raised. Therefore they ask that the government intervene.

* * *

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

Mr. Peter Milliken (Parliamentary Secretary to Leader of the Government in the House of Commons): Mr. Speaker, the following question will be answered today: No. 34.

[Text]

Question No. 34—**Mr. Mayfield:**

With respect to the Canadian Ranger program, (a) how many participants are there in each province and territory, (b) how much funding was provided to the program, per province, for the years 1992, 1993 and 1994, (c) is the recruitment for the program under way in all provinces; if not, why not, and in which provinces is it not taking place?

Mr. Fred Mifflin (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence and Minister of Veterans Affairs): (a) At the end of 1993, there were 3,210 members of the Canadian Rangers serving in the following provinces and territories:

- (i) British Columbia 650
- (ii) Alberta 30
- (iii) Manitoba 20
- (iv) Ontario 50
- (v) Quebec 345
- (vi) Newfoundland and Labrador 965
- (vii) Northwest Territories 875
- (viii) Yukon Territory 275

(b) The amounts in the following chart were allocated in the fiscal years indicated to the Canadian Rangers' parent area headquarters.

Year	Pay	Operations and Maintenance	TOTAL
1991/92 *	\$515,294	\$608,949	\$1,124,243
1992/93 *	\$709,018	\$881,274	\$1,590,292
1993/94 **	\$879,400	\$866,600	\$1,746,000
1994/95 **	\$924,400	\$765,200	\$1,689,600

Canadian forces northern area: responsible for the Northwest and Yukon territories, Manitoba, Alberta and, until September 1993, the Ungava Peninsula.

Land force atlantic area: (formerly maritime command to 1992): responsible for Newfoundland and Labrador, and until September 1993, the Quebec lower north shore.

1991/92 *	\$478,143	\$372,791	\$850,934
1992/93 *	\$712,798	\$616,923	\$1,329,721
1993/94 **	\$1,321,700	\$766,700	\$2,088,400
1994/95 **	The apportionment of allocated funds is in the process of being developed.		\$1,219,800

Land force western area: (formerly maritime Pacific command until 1992): responsible for British Columbia.

1991/92 *	\$164,692	\$41,931	\$206,623
1992/93 *	\$381,918	\$139,585	\$521,503
1993/94 **	\$794,800	\$165,000	\$959,800
1994/95 **	The apportionment of allocated funds is in the process of being developed.		\$962,000

Land force Quebec area.

1994/95 **	The apportionment of allocated funds is in the process of being developed.		\$612,000
------------	--	--	-----------

Land force central area: responsible for Ontario.

1994/95 **	The apportionment of allocated funds is in the process of being developed.		\$518,000
------------	--	--	-----------

Note: * = actual expenditures; ** = allocated funds

(c) (i) No.

(ii) The role of the Canadian Rangers is to provide a military presence in those sparsely settled northern, coastal and isolated areas of Canada where it is neither convenient nor economical to station other components of the Canadian forces. Therefore, the Canadian forces has decided not to organize Canadian Rangers units in the provinces which have an adequate military presence.

(iii) Provinces without Canadian Rangers units are Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and Saskatchewan.

[English]

The Deputy Speaker: The question as enumerated by the parliamentary secretary has been answered.

* * *

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

Mr. Peter Milliken (Parliamentary Secretary to Leader of the Government in the House of Commons): Mr. Speaker, if Question No. 7 could be made an Order for Return, the return would be tabled immediately.

The Deputy Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House that Question No. 7 be deemed to have been made an Order for Return?

Some hon. members: Agreed.