

before me, and does not apply well to any form of *messoria* known to me. As the type is in existence, the best way will be to cite *insulsa*, Wlk., as a questionable synonym of *ducta*, Grt., and thus call the attention of whoever may have a chance to make the comparisons to the probabilities. The species was described from Orillia, West Canada, and the locality is therefore in favor of the name.

*Mamestra displiciens*, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep. Het., XXXII., 660, 1865.

The specimen is labelled by Walker, and is evidently the type. It is a normally marked *Carneades messoria*.

*Mamestra unicolor*, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep.-Het., IX., 233, 1856.

Determined by Walker, but not the type. It is *Noctua clandestina*, Harris, and therefore agrees with the type, which Messrs. Grote and Robinson have also identified with *clandestina*.

*Mamestra nigriceps*, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep. Het., XXXII., 659, 1865.

Apparently the type, agreeing well with the description, but without Walker's written label. A printed label has been substituted for the original, if a written one ever existed.

This species is also equal to *Noctua clandestina*, Harr. It was described as in Dr. Bethune's collection.

*Mamestra contenta*, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep. Het., IX., 232, 1856.

A badly rubbed specimen, but easily recognizable as *Hadena devastatrix*, Brace, and therefore like the type which Mr. Grote has referred in the same way.

*Agrotis reticens*, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep. Het., XXXII, 692, 1865.

Two specimens, one with Walker's written label, the other with a printed "Type" label and a printed specific label. Both specimens are *Carneades messoria*, Harris.

*Agrotis ordinata*, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep. Het., XXXII, 691, 1865.

The specimen bears Walker's written label, and is described as in Dr. Bethune's collection; but it does not at all agree with the description. Neither does it agree with the description of any other of the species described from Dr. Bethune's collection. The specimen is *Pyrophila tragopogonis*, Linn., and I can only imagine that Walker in placing his labels, placed this one on the wrong specimen, or that in the transferring in Dr. Bethune's collection, another specimen became substituted for the type. What is described is really a form of *Carneades messoria*, Harr., to which I would cite *ordinata* as a synonym.