

Elementary Course.—Class I.—Sanders, Wallace. Class II.—Sparling, Dewar, Barron, Stevenson. Class III.—None.

Mathematical Physics.

THIRD YEAR.—Class I.—Mackay, Wright, Haythorne, Kirkpatrick; Blackader and Kennedy, eq. Class II.—Marceau and Massé, eq.; Cameron (K.). Class III.—Rogers, Mahon, Turner, Christie.

Mathematics.

SECOND YEAR.—Class I.—Lockhead, Climie, Cameron (D.), Ellis, McLean (J. A.), McArthur, Stewart. Class II.—Currie (W. T.), Watson, Thompson; Hargrave and Osborne, eq. Class III.—Martin, McLennan (G. A.); Blair and Budden, eq.; McLennan (H. S.), Carrie (A.), Robertson, Calder, Colquhoun, Grant, Macvicar, Roberts.

FIRST YEAR.—Class I.—Patterson, Sparling, Wallace, McDougall; Livingstone and Ritchie and Rochester, eq. Class II.—McOust, Chalmers, McRae, Dewar. Class III.—Kerry, Clerk, and Hibbard, eq.; Swabey, Stevenson, Clements; Pedley and Sanders, eq.;—Evans, Bell, Caird, Holden (Edgar), Dalpé; Munro and Ogilvie, eq.;—McLean (D.), Internoscia.

Geology and Mineralogy.

FOURTH YEAR.—Class I.—Barlow, Ross, Hunter, Porter, Richardson. Class II.—England Kinnear and Shearer, equal; Duffett, Emory, Campbell.

Zoology.

THIRD YEAR.—Class I.—Blackader, Rogers; Massé and Gerrie, equal; Unsworth, Mabon. Class II.—Pedley (J. W.), Cameron (K.), Marceau-Kirkpatrick, Lariviere.

Botany.

SECOND YEAR.—Class I.—Climie, Stewart, Lockhead, Currie (W. T.), Hargrave, Martin, Macfarlane, Colquhoun, MacArthur, McLean. Class II.—Carmichael, McLennan (G. A.), Budden, Calder, Osborne, McLennan (H.), Currie (A.), Robertson, Grover (V. J.), Blair, Thompson, Watson, Macvicar, Roberts. Class III.—Ellis, Grant (A. S.), Higgins.

Chemistry.

FIRST YEAR.—Class I.—Livingstone, Patterson, Anderson (Ocd.), Holden (Edgar); Kerr and McOust, eq. Class II.—Sparling, Ritchie, MacDougall, McRae, Clements; Clerk and Wallace, eq.; Evans, Rochester, Swabey, Stevenson. Class III.—Hibbard, Pedley (F.), Internoscia, Dewar, Sanders, Dalpé, Fyles, Holden (R. C.), Thomas, Chalmers, Bell.

APPLIED SCIENCE.

Mathematics.

FIRST YEAR.—Class I.—Evans, Watson, Dawson; Class II.—Brown, Ferrier, Johnstone, Weir, Perkins, May; Class III.—Craven, Taylor, Molson, Shearer.

SECOND YEAR.—Class I.—Thompson; Class II.—Fortier, Macy; Class III.—Reid, Matthewson; Class II.—Special.—Trenholme.

THIRD YEAR.—Class I.—Smith (C. B.), Ogilvy; Class II.—McDonald; Class III.—Davis, Hislop.

FOURTH YEAR.—Class I.—Dowling; Class II.—McEvoy, Howard, Smith (R. F.).

Mechanics.

THIRD YEAR.—Class I.—Ogilvy and Smith (C. B.), eq.; Class II.—Hamilton, McDonald, Graham, Forlong; Class III.—McToggart, Walters, Hislop.

Zoology.

SECOND YEAR.—Class I.—Matthewson, Fortier, Macy, Thompson; Class II.—Hamilton, Roy, Routhier, Lesage; Class III.—Mignault, Kennedy, Pitcher.

English.

FIRST YEAR.—Class I.—Brown, Evans (N. N.); Class II.—Ferrier, Dawson, Weir, Johnstone and Watson, eq. May; Class III.—Perkins, Craven, Shearer, Costigan, Taylor.

French.

FIRST YEAR.—Class I.—Brown, Ferrier; Class II.—Evans, Dawson, Watson, Johnstone; Class III.—Costigan, Shearer.

SECOND YEAR.—Class I.—Fortier; Class II.—Macy, Mignault, Roy; Class III.—Dragon, Matthewson, Thompson, Routhier.

Chemistry.

FIRST YEAR.—Class I.—Evans, Martin, Dawson, Ferrier, Weir, Brown, Johnstone; Class II.—Craig, Perkins, Watson; Class III.—Molson, Taylor, Hutchison, May, Shearer.

Geology and Mineralogy.

Class I.—Robert, Ogilvy, Smith, McDonald. Class II.—Forlong. Class III.—Hislop, Walters, Davis.

The Undergraduates in Medicine assembled in the Anatomy room on the night of December 21st, for the purpose of presenting Cook, the Janitor, with the usual purse. At about fifteen minutes past five Cook came in, to pursue. Having deposited his charge in the University chair, Mr. Latham proceeded, in glowing terms, to give a short sketch of the life of this worthy. His hearers were moved. Three or four even put five cents more in the bag. He said he looked upon Cook as representing the five last dorsal and

all the lumbar vertebrae in the backbone of the College. (One smile.) After concluding a very eristic speech he presented the purse which amounted to \$57.50. Cook in feeling terms thanked them heartily for their generous donation saying that without it he would this Session have been unable to declare a dividend. He said that in presenting him with the purse they had shown themselves men of cents. As the purse consisted chiefly of coppers a pun was suspected. The age of the speaker was taken into account, however, and the note books were lowered again. Owing to the bad provision made for members of the press the concluding remarks were not heard. The meeting broke up with cheers for the Cooks generally.

FACULTY OF LAW.

The following case came up for discussion before the Moot Court, viz: An action instituted by an undisclosed principal to recover the price and value of goods sold and delivered by his agent. The defence, it pleaded that he had dealt with the agent only, and knew not the plaintiff in the transaction. The plea was held good and the action dismissed.

Messrs. Hague & Duclos, for the plaintiff.

Messrs. Leet & Falconer, for the defendant.

Professor Trenholm, who presided, in his elaborate judgment reviewed the history of agency from the early Roman times, and dwelt on the ever increasing importance the subject was assuming. He held that there could be no doubt that the action was rightly brought, if the law of England was made to apply in this instance as pretended by the plaintiff. In his opinion this pretension was unfounded, and French law and the general rules governing contracts in our Code must apply. Our Courts generally recognize that in commercial matters, when not provided for by the Code, the law of England shall have force. But granting the contrary let us review the judgment upon the grounds given in its support.

Articles 1023 & 1028 C. C. were cited as the law in this case. Now Art. 1023 says: "Contracts have effect only between the contracting parties, they cannot affect third persons, &c." And Art. 1028 "A person can not, by a contract in his own name, bind any one but himself, his heirs and legal representatives." If we accept this as the law binding in cases of agency we might wipe out altogether from our Code the title of Mandate, except in the limited cases where an agent acts in the name of his principal. The question is simple "Can an undisclosed principal recover on a contract entered into by his agent?" Either the present case is one of agency or it is not. If it is contended that it is not, all discussion ends. But by the question itself it is admitted to be a case of agency. And we are told that the rule, that contracts can have effect only between the contracting parties must apply to it. What follows? That when an agent contracts in his own name, not only for an undisclosed principal, but even if he should disclose his principal, that principal can not recover. This latter conclusion, which is perfectly natural, cannot be entertained for a moment. It would seem therefore clear that the Arts. cited ought not to be held to apply in cases of agency. In conclusion the learned gentleman said, that in order to save his action the principal ought to have made the agents, mis-en-cause. This is a practical contradiction of the former part of the judgment, by admitting the right of the principal to bring the action in his name since the only effect of placing the agent mis-en-cause would be to guarantee the defendant against any new proceedings on his part.

The appointing of an appellate jurisdiction in the Moot Court would be highly beneficial.

APPOINTMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY LITERARY SOCIETY.

January 12th, 1883.—*Subject of Debate:* Should emigration to the Northwest from the older Dominion Provinces be looked upon with favour? Affirmative: P. S. G. McKenzie, Mr. Arch. Barnard. Negative: Mr. A. G. Cross, Mr. F. S. McLennan.

January 19th, 1883.—*Reader,* Mr. Francis McLennan. *Subject of Debate:* Ought the American Federal Authorities to interfere for the suppression of Mormonism? Affirmative: Mr. B. C. Maclean, Mr. L. T. Leet. Negative: Mr. Arch. McGoun, Mr. F. Hague.

January 26th, 1883.—The Society's first Annual Dinner.
February 2nd, 1883.—A Discussion on Longfellow; comprising an essay by Mr. McGoun; a biographical notice by Mr. R. C. Smith; a recitation by Mr. Cross; and general remarks.

The Annual Public Debate will take place early in February, the speakers being Mr. H. J. Kavanagh, Mr. A. G. Cross, Mr. Arch. Barnard, and Mr. C. J. Brooke.

GENERAL.

We have received the following:—*The Argosy, The Morrin Review, The Presbyterian College Journal, The Varsity, The Dalhousie Gazette, The Queen's College Journal, The Educational Record, Acta Victoriana, The Dartmouth, The Trinity Tablet.*

We are delighted to be able to welcome the appearance of the *Morrin Review*, the first number of which was issued last month. The humorous but kindly reference made to ourselves in its columns, was much appreciated by us. Of course, our relations with the *Review* will always be of the most interesting and intimate character, and we anticipate much pleasure from our intercourse with what we must consider a child of ours. Like all young children, of course, our first-born is plain and small, but we hope that with time and help it will grow and prosper. The publishing of a paper is an evidence of increasing vitality in Morrin College, which is very gratifying to us who belong to the same University.