กณั

id

of

at

ce

56_

W

he

te,

ng

of

ıat

a

.ch

by

ng

to

39.

he

u

ut

in

ed

of

he

rt,

ed

in-

08-

by

180

es.

go

'ho

is-

eal

3

rds

b.

the person claiming to be benefi- might be compelled to pay under cially interested filed a bill for a conveyance to himself; under these gircumstances the bill would have been dismissed, had not the defendant by his answer admitted that he was a trustee, and it appearing that the wife, who was not a party to the suit, and was living separate from her husband, was entitled to the beneficial inheritance, an enquiry was directed as to the cause of her separation, with a view of ascertaining how the court should direct the rents of the estate to be applied.

Phelan v. Fraser, 335.

3 Lands were held by one Ford as trustee for Chandler, who assigned, by a memorandum absolute in form, for a nominal consideration of 5s., but in reality by way of security to one Codd, the instrument declaring the trust; subse quently the agent of Codd wrote to Ford, stating that his writing had been assigned, and calling upon him to convey the property to Codd: Ford in compliance with such request executed a conveyance and transmitted it by post, without ever having called for the production of the assignment to Codd, who sold to a purchaser without notice. Upon a bill filed by Chandler against Ford and Codd. the court held that Ford, under the circumstances, had committed a clear breach of trust: that he was bound to make good the trust estate, and directed an enquiry as to the present value thereof, the to reimburse Ford for any sum he and before the notes fell due the

the decree. Chandler v. Ford, 607. See also "Church Temporalities."

" Dormant Equities.' " Mortgage," 4.

"Solicitor and Client." "Statute of Frauds."

UNPATENTED LANDS.

See " Mortgage," 11, 12. VENDOR'S LIEN.

1. Land being conveyed in consideration of the vendee providing the vendor with maintenance, washing, &c., the vendor retains a lien for the consideration.

Paine v. Chapman, 338.

2. The owner of land agreed to sell the growing timber thereon, and by the terms of the agreement it was stipulated that the price should be paid by the purchuser's note, endorsed by a responsible party, renewable for half at its maturity, the delivering of such note within ten days from the date thereof to be the completion of the consideration for said agreement: Held, that this was only a mode of paying the purchase money, and was not substituted for it; and that upon failure of payment the vendor was entitled to an injunction to restrain the felling of timber or the removal of such as had been already cut down. Mitchell v. McGaffey, 361.

3. On a sale of land for £3000. the purchaser paid at the time of the execution of the conveyance £2750, and gave his promissory notes for the balance, payable in three and four years; afterwards amount of which, together with he executed a mortgage to his fathe costs of the suit, less what ther for the £2750 alleged to have might be found due by Chandler to been advanced by him to his son Codd, the defendants were ordered to effect the purchase. The purto pay; and that Codd was bound chaser died intestate without issue,