

OUR EDUCATIONAL COMPACT.

3rd. The *privileges and responsibilities* arising out of an equal share in the ownership of the Academies at Wolfville have been fully recognized again and again by the several Associations in New Brunswick.

It will presently be further shown that the "N. B. Baptist Education Society," which never was a properly organized body holding funds under Act of Incorporation, virtually terminated its existence in 1874.

In view of these facts, what is to be thought of such utterances as these taken from the editorial columns of the *Christian Visitor*? "*It pleased the Nova Scotia brethren to place their Academy under the control of the Convention. The N. B. brethren never asked them to do it, nor judged it wise to put their school or the sale funds there*" [Nov. 16, 1881]. "*This Society never in any way recognized the Convention.*" [Same date]. "*As to the N. B. Education Society submitting plans to the Convention, we might ask Bro. C. to turn that suggestion round. It will look just as well, and be as proper*" [Nov. 23rd].

Let us take a parallel case. *A, B and C* are in partnership, and have been so for nearly forty years. *A* owned a ship, which was made over to the firm in 1849, since which time *A, B and C* have been joint owners. *A* also owned a barque, and *B's* son owned another, each as his private property. *B's* son sold his vessel in 1873, invested the proceeds securely, and, when about to die, inserted a clause in his will placing this money at the disposal of *B*, his father. In 1874 the firm of *A, B and C*, with the full approval of each of the partners, assumed the ownership of *A's* vessel, and subsequently came to a formal agreement that all the shipping interests of each and all of the partners should be thenceforward under the control of the firm as such. Some five or six years later there appears a person claiming to be *B's* son, who was supposed to be dead. His attorneys maintain that he has the sole right to control the funds accrued from the sale of the barque,—that *B* should support him in this claim,—that *B* is under no obligation in respect to any shipping interests of the firm of *A, B and C*, other than the ship formerly owned by *A*,—and that *B* will be guilty of no breach of faith in proceeding to build or purchase a vessel on his own account, without consulting his partners. Could such pretensions meet the approval of honest business men?

THE N. B. BAPTIST EDUCATION SOCIETY.

From Dr. Bill's "Baptist History," we learn that, in consequence of suggestions made and action taken at an Association held at St. George in July, 1833,—a public meeting was held in St. John in the following Sep-