

trinate, in the principles of English Grammar, the First Form pupils of U. C. College? Are the Model Schools not sufficiently aristocratic for the precocious gentlemen of the Second Form? Is the Toronto Grammar School not sufficiently select for the supercilious minors of the remaining College Forms? If it offends these dainty youths that the son of an honest yeoman should come between the wind and their nobility, then the course of conduct is plain,—their fathers must pay for the isolation they affect. In truth, the fees now annually paid into U. C. College will continue to secure those young gentlemen from contact with the stronger intellects of less aristocratic boys. The sum arising from this source in 1867 (272) would have provided them with a staff of five Teachers (or one for about every forty boys in daily attendance) at the following salaries: \$1500, \$1000, \$800, \$700, \$600, and still leave \$700 for management and repairs.



The further maintenance, at the public expense, of U. C. College is thus, on every conceivable ground, indefensible. Let us then, the Grammar School Masters of this Province, go fearlessly forward in the sacred duty of recovering, for those Schools whose honor and fortunes have been committed to our charge, that Endowment which successive Parliaments have declared to be their just inheritance. Let us hear of no truce; no compromise; no dangerous delays. Finally, let us—strong in the goodness of our cause—confidently demand of the Legislature, **JUSTICE** against that Institution which the Legislature's own Journals declare to have been begotten of Fraud and nurtured by Plunder!