

“ legacy, because he had complied with the condition  
 “ of the will.”—Such Priests ought not to be set  
 down among “ respectable characters ;” for they are  
 worse than lukewarmness itself, “ and I will spue them  
 “ out of my mouth.”

“ Carmen, ostlers, boys, &c.” it seems, are Mr.  
 Hake’s signers against the Fifty - five, who have seven  
 boys among them ; and I wish, for their own honour,  
 they could prove they had served the royal cause with-  
 out double pay for their services, and that they had  
 shewed as much loyalty and disinterested conduct as the  
 “ carmen and the ostlers.”

If our Consistent Loyalist will reconsider the rank,  
 services, and losses of the Fifty-five, and compare them  
 with others, he will agree, that the request of the Fifty-  
 five was ill founded, unreasonable, unfair, “ ungene-  
 “ rous.”—As many dubious characters seem to have  
 been admitted in the number Fifty-five, unknown to  
 some of the signers, and several of the Fifty-five are  
 losers of much property by their loyalty to their King,  
 whatever “ casual errors” they have been guilty of by  
 passion or otherwise, I see no reason why the public should  
 not know, that Addison, Bannister, Camp, Crannell,  
 Fenley, Livingston, Longworth, Seabury, Skinner, and  
 Wanton, are ten of the greatest sufferers, and probably  
 have lost more than the other Forty-five. By this I do not  
 mean, that others of the Fifty-five are not sufferers ;  
 for I believe there are some, although nearly half of  
 the Fifty-five have had the fortune to gain by the re-  
 bellion.

I will now take leave of our Consistent Loyalist, and  
 desire him not to contend with an adversary armed with  
 the two-edged sword, whose supposed malice is not to  
 be cured by insult, injury, and inconsistencies. Well  
 had