## Financial Institutions

(2) The Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation (CDIC), on behalf of the Government, initially sought some advice from the law firm of Tory, Tory, DesLauriers and Binnington in respect of the Nova Scotia Savings & Loan and Saskatchewan Co-op actions. However, that action is now being handled by the Department of Justice. The fees paid to Tory, Tory, DesLauriers and Binnington were \$300 and no further expenditures to non-government agencies in respect of the pursuance of those suits are anticipated.

With respect to the Bank of Montreal suit, both the Department of Justice and the law firm of Campbell, Godfrey and Lewtas are acting on behalf of the Government, with the Department of Justice acting as solicitor in the matter. The law firm of Campbell, Godfrey and Lewtas has been retained because of its familiarity with the circumstances surrounding the CCB situation and the demands made upon the Department of Justice. Therefore, a combination of personnel from the Department of Justice and Campbell, Godfrey and Lewtas represents the most efficient use of personnel in these circumstances.

The expenditures thus far have been \$1,186.66 in respect of payments to Campbell, Godfrey and Lewtas and it is difficult to predict at this point in time whether further expenditures will be made.

Madam Speaker, I would ask that all the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

## POINT OF ORDER

GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PAPERS

Mr. Rod Murphy (Churchill): Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order with regard to a motion moved on June 10, 1987, as reported on page 6963 of *Hansard*. The motion was adopted by the House. It was as follows:

That an humble Address be presented to Her Excellency praying that she will cause to be laid before this House a copy of the Cape Breton Development Corporation's five-year plan.

I pointed out in a previous intervention on June 17, 1987, that that was not what was produced by the Parliamentary Secretary to the Government House Leader (Mr. Lewis). At that time he tabled in the House only a summary of the Cape Breton Development Corporation's plan. I made it very clear in a previous intervention that I was requesting the full plan as that is what I believe the motion requests.

At the time of my earlier intervention I was told by the Parliamentary Secretary that he would look into this matter

and give me an answer. I think June 30 would be an appropriate day for me to get an answer on this.

Mr. Doug Lewis (Parliamentary Secretary to Deputy Prime Minister and President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, my hon. friend and I are engaged in a question of semantics. The Government did in fact table the summary of the Cape Breton Development Corporation's corporate plan. There are certain things in the over-all specific plan which are confidences of the Privy Council. It is custom that only summaries of corporate plans of Crown corporations be tabled and made public. I think you will find, Madam Speaker, that the Government has complied with the statute in this case.

Mr. Murphy: Madam Speaker, the motion is quite clear. It speaks of "a copy of the Cape Breton Development Corporation's five-year plan". There is no reference whatsoever to the words "summary", "executive summary" or any other condensation of that plan.

Recognizing the amount of business that has to be done by the House today, I would like to give notice of a point of order and would like to have a ruling at some time in the future on what the motion which was passed by this House requires of the Government. I submit that the motion is quite clear and does require the tabling of the entire five-year plan. I ask for a response from the Chair, perhaps in early September.

#### **PETITIONS**

RIGHT TO LIFE OF THE UNBORN—PROTECTION OF CRIMINAL CODE

Mr. Benno Friesen (Surrey—White Rock—North Delta): Madam Speaker, I thank Hon. Members for giving me this opportunity to present a petition on behalf of residents of Port Coquitlam, Langley and Surrey which says, in part, that all human beings are deserving of legal protection from unjust attacks upon their lives and that the present law regarding abortion does not provide such protection, wherefore, the undersigned, your petitioners, humbly pray and call upon Parliament to amend the Criminal Code so that unborn human beings are protected by law from abortion.

The petition has been duly certified by the Clerk of Petitions.

# **GOVERNMENT ORDERS**

[English]

# FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

MEASURE TO AMEND

Hon. Tom Hockin (Minister of State (Finance)) moved that Bill C-56, an Act to amend certain Acts relating to