Introduction of Bill

debate them at other times in the course of deliberations in the House. I have not ruled on the substance of that question.

POINT OF ORDER

MR. STEWART—NOTICE OF QUESTIONS TO BE RAISED DURING ADJOURNMENT DEBATE

Mr. Ron Stewart (Simcoe South): Madam Speaker, a few moments ago I asked of the right hon. Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) a composite question dealing with the Olympic Games and the boycott. The right hon. gentleman asked that I put the questions one after the other. I was not given that opportunity, so I should like to request that I be given a chance to put them in the late show, with the right hon. Prime Minister or his appointee to answer them.

Madam Speaker: The hon. member can have that opportunity if he sends me a written note. His question will be put down for the late debate whenever his turn comes.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

ACID RAIN POLLUTION—STUDY OF INCO FEASIBLE EMISSION REDUCTIONS

Hon. John Roberts (Minister of State for Science and Technology and Minister of the Environment): Madam Speaker, as I indicated in response to a question from the hon. member for Hillsborough (Mr. McMillan) on June 5, my department has conducted a preliminary assessment of technically and economically feasible emission reductions for Inco's Sudbury operations. I should like to table, in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 41(2), copies in both official languages of the assessment report and the executive summary of the nickel industry report.

[Translation]

BANK ACT AND QUEBEC SAVINGS BANKS ACT

MEASURE TO EXTEND OPERATION UNTIL NOVEMBER 30, 1980

Hon. Pierre Bussières (for the Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance) moved for leave to introduce Bill C-39, to amend the Bank Act and the Quebec Savings Banks Act.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

[English]

OUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 82, 134, 180, 198, 261, 543, 599, 793, 825, 827, 934, 973, 974, 1052 and 1053.

[Text]

VETERANS AFFAIRS—RELOCATION TO PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

Question No. 82—Mr. Cossitt:

- 1. Is the government aware that the District G Convention of the Royal Canadian Legion held in Pembroke, Ontario, discussed the move of the Department of Veterans Affairs from Ottawa to Prince Edward Island and that the branches present were not in favour of the plan?
- 2. Are estimates of moving costs between forty and eighty million dollars accurate and, if so, how can this expense be justified considering all of the circumstances?
- 3. (a) What is the total number of persons employed by the Department of Veterans Affairs (b) what was the total number (i) one (ii) two (iii) three (iv) four (v) five years ago?
- 4. To date, what is the number or estimated number of DVA personnel who have indicated that they are not prepared to move, do not intend to move or who have not yet given a definite answer?

Hon. Daniel J. MacDonald (Minister of Veterans Affairs):

1. The subjects discussed at the district G convention of the Royal Canadian Legion held in Pembroke have not been brought to the attention of the Minister of Veterans Affairs. However, the government is aware that the Royal Canadian Legion opposes the relocation of the Canadian Pension Commission to Prince Edward Island.

- 2. The total approved funding for the relocation of Veterans Affairs is \$23.6 million. This does not include costs for building and land which are under negotiation and may not be borne by the federal government.
 - 3. (a) 1979/80 Head Office Staff—936;
 - (b) (i) 967, (ii) 887, (iii) 853, (iv) 841, (v) 794 (Head Office Staff).
- 4. Figures unknown in light of revised relocation phasing which now extends into 1983. Employees are required to make a binding decision whether or not to relocate nine months before the new date of relocation.

NUCLEAR WASTE MATERIAL—TRANSPORTATION

Question No. 134-Mr. Cossitt:

- 1. Is nuclear waste material being transported through areas of Canada and, if so (a) since what date (b) what are the points of (i) origin (ii) destination in Canada and in the United States?
- 2. Is such material transported from Chalk River southward to the American border and specifically through the Constituency of Leeds-Grenville and, if so, how many times on the average per week or month?
- 3. What ports of access to the United States are used and do these include the Prescott-Ogdensburg Bridge at Johnstown, Ontario and the Thousand Islands Bridge at Lansdowne, Ontario?