FUNDS TO QUEBEC SCHOOLS UNDER BILINGUALISM PROGRAM

Question No. 4,138—**Mr. Matte:**

Since 1974, has the government directed funds to Quebec schools, as part of its bilingualism programme, for the learning material improvement programme and, if so, in what amount?

Miss Coline Campbell (Parliamentary Secretary to Secretary of State): The Department of the Secretary of State has not directed funds to Quebec schools for the learning material improvement programme.

RCMP—SERVICES TO MUNICIPALITIES IN THE CONSTITUENCY OF CHAMPLAIN

Question No. 4,581—**Mr. Matte:**

Did the Royal Canadian Mounted Police offer its police services under contract to some municipalities in the Constituency of Champlain in 1975-76 and, if so, what was (a) the total cost for such services (b) the number of police officers, by municipality (c) the name of the municipality concerned (d) the salary of the RCMP officers?

Mr. Hugh Poulin (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General): No.

* * *

● (1510)

[*English*]

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

Mr. J.-J. Blais (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, if question No. 3,259 could be made an order for return, this return would be tabled immediately.

[*Text*]

PUBLIC SERVANTS TRAINING PROGRAMMES

Question No. 3,259—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. As of December 31, 1974, what was the number of public servants on temporary training programmes (a) Language Training (b) Special Assignment Pay Plan (SAPP) (c) Career Assignment Programme (CAP) (d) Career Orientation Programme?

2. What was the number of public servants in each programme for each incumbent level of the occupational groups in the administrative and foreign service category and the administrative support category, as of December 31, 1974?

3. What was the average length of time public servants were expected to spend on each programme for those enrolled as of December 31, 1974?

Return tabled.

[*English*]

Mr. Blais: I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[*English*]

BUSINESS OF SUPPLY

ALLOTTED DAY S.O. 58—ALLEGED GOVERNMENT PROLIFERATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS BY RESUMING ASSISTANCE TO INDIA

Mr. Allan Lawrence (Northumberland-Durham) moved:

That this House condemns the government for increasing the threat posed to mankind by the proliferation of nuclear weapons, and in particular by its present negotiations to resume nuclear assistance to India.

He said: Mr. Speaker, there is something wrong when the only way we can have a debate on the most critical man-made problem facing the future of mankind, namely, the growing proliferation of nuclear weaponry and the major part this country plays in its continuance, is for the opposition to force a debate against the wishes of a reluctant, tight-lipped, secrecy-prone and grouchy government.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Lawrence: This is another facet of the secrecy syndrome infesting official Ottawa today—and if it does not stop, and stop soon, I warn our Secretary of State for External Affairs (Mr. MacEachen) that the long tradition and history of a bipartisan foreign policy for this nation will come crashing down around his ears. Things are changing here in Ottawa. Things are changing across the country, and if fresh winds do not start blowing through that architectural monstrosity on Sussex Drive that looks like an old-fashioned fortress, and is guarded like one, called the Pearson Building, then those winds will not only blow the minister and some of his advisers away but they could help to blow away this government as well.

We have drafted this short, blunt and pointed resolution with four thoughts in mind: to initiate a debate on the lack of nuclear safeguards in the world today and the failure of the world community to stop the spread of nuclear arms; to examine and, hopefully, force our government and this particular minister to explain and defend Canada's policy of selling nuclear power generating plants to other countries; to drag out of the minister, if we can, for the first time some rational explanation, if one exists, for the intended resumption of nuclear assistance to India; and finally if, as I suspect, there is no rational explanation, to embarrass those government members who are either unthinking enough or partisan and disciplined enough to vote willy-nilly for the government's resumption of nuclear aid to India, the timing of which, the circumstances of which and the merits of which are simply incomprehensible to the people of Canada and to the nations of the western world.

Make no mistake about it, sir, we in the official opposition are going to take special steps to make sure that the nature of the vote of government members on this particular resolution is communicated back home to the people in the constituencies, and we intend to point out those members who endorse this senseless policy of the government. Members can take that as a threat if they want, but if an appeal such as we are making today to government mem-