

## Orders for Returns

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS  
FOR RETURNSUNITED NATIONS—PORTUGUESE COLONIAL  
POLICY RESOLUTIONSQuestion No. 1,522—**Mr. Lewis:**

1. What resolutions at the United Nations concerning Portuguese colonial policy, and the Portuguese colonies, particularly Angola and Mozambique, has Canada (a) supported (b) abstained from voting (c) voted against?

2. What did each of these resolutions call for?

3. What specific action has Canada taken to carry out the intent of the Resolutions supported, particularly Resolution 1603 in 1961, Resolution 1699 in 1962 and Resolution 2395 in 1963?

Return tabled.

DEFENCE INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH BOARD  
GRANTSQuestion No. 1,666—**Mr. Broadbent:**

1. For the fiscal year 1969-70 (a) which and how many non-resident owned or controlled firms have been awarded financial grants or incentives under the Defence Industrial Research Board (b) in each case, what was the total financial grant or incentive allotted?

2. For the same year (a) which and how many Canadian owned or controlled firms have been awarded financial grants under the Defence Industrial Research Program (b) in each case, what was the total financial grant or incentive allotted?

Return tabled.

MOTION TO ADJOURN  
UNDER S.O. 26

## GRAIN

INTERNATIONAL WHEAT PRODUCTION  
AND MARKETING

**Mr. John Burton (Regina East):** Mr. Speaker, I ask leave, seconded by the hon. member for Yorkton-Melville (Mr. Nystrom), to move the adjournment of the House under Standing Order 26 for the purpose of discussing a specific and important matter requiring urgent consideration, namely, the failure of the conference of the five major wheat exporting nations to agree to orderly wheat production and acreage reduction, the failure to maintain price stability in world grain trade, and the urgent need for alternative government of Canada policies to avoid further catastrophic economic conditions in the Canadian wheat economy.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Regina East has given the Chair notice of his intention to move the adjournment of the House under Standing Order 26 with reference to [Mr. MacEachen.]

the problem of international wheat production and marketing. The Chair has serious reservations about the procedural aspect of the proposed motion. Although without a doubt the motion deals with a matter that is of national concern and of concern to all hon. members of the House, it is also in many ways a continuing problem. This is evidenced, for example, by the numerous questions which have been asked in the House over an extended period of time, as well as by the repeated debates we have had during the last month on this very subject.

I might point out by way of further example that in July last a motion to adjourn under Standing Order 26 was then proposed by the hon. member for Saskatoon-Biggar, dealing with the International Grains Arrangement and with international price support for wheat. A number of debates have taken place since then in relation to this general situation. It may well be that a further debate on this subject ought to take place at an early opportunity, particularly in view of the just concluded wheat conference. However, in view of the terms of Standing Order 26 and the numerous precedents, I suggest that such a debate ought not to take place in the form of an emergency debate under Standing Order 26 at this time.

[Translation]

## MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

**Mr. Yves Forest (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, notice of motion (papers) No. 409 is acceptable to the government.

[English]

CANADA-U.S. DRAFT AGREEMENT ON  
FISHING PRIVILEGESMotion No. 375—**Mr. Howard (Skeena):**

That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House a copy of the draft agreement between Canada and the United States on reciprocal fishing privileges referred to in a news release from the Department of Fisheries and Forestry dated February 16, 1970.

Motion agreed to.

[Translation]

**Mr. Yves Forest (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, would you be so kind as to call notices of motions Nos. 166, 167, 168, 169, 350, 353, 367, 376, 387 and 398?

I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining notices of motions be allowed to stand.