Neither the procedure nor the collective measures proposed were pursued with any vigour in the next few years. The fighting in Korea died down. The wave of that crisis receded and with it the urge to be ready measure. The Soviet bloc was naturally opposed to the "Uniting-for-Peace" resolution and violently denounced it as a violation of the Charter. In event, East-West tension had eased after the "summit" meeting at Geneva, the West lost interest in the matter. In short, great-power deadlock descent the hope of establishing the United Nations Security Council force envisage in the Charter. Inertia and wishful thinking, among members generally, proposed any significant action on the 1950 resolution calling for the alternof stand-by units. The world community was to wait for another crisis.

It came in 1956, mounting with increasing menace in the Middle: In late October, Israeli armed forces raced to the Suez Canal. Britain a France delivered their ultimatum and moved in. The Soviet Union and late Communist China issued threats. War seemed imminent and the United Nation was called upon to intervene for peace.

The main demand was to end the fighting and bring about the with of the British and French forces. What was needed to accomplish this was impartial military force to secure a cease-fire and withdrawal and to supera buffer zone, first near the Canal and later along the line dividing Isrand Egypt. Some security had to be restored after the shock of fighting, humiliation of defeat, and the frustrations of withdrawal. But the United Nations force to be organized for this purpose would do no fighting except self-defence and would rely mainly on its presence as representing the United Nations to accomplish its aims. "Intervention" by the United Nations was acquire new meaning.

Problems of Ad Hoc Peace-keeping

The "Uniting-for-Peace" procedure had made it possible for the Assembly to meet in emergency special session to deal with the Suez crisi: It was able quickly to adopt broad directives governing the establishment and functioning of UNEF. But the Secretariat found little on their files concerning collective measures which might give a lead on how to proceed. It was a new course on new ground. Some experience could be drawn from the earlier activities of the military observer groups but no real precedent existed for a major, genuinely United Nations military operation which has to be carried out with speed, efficiency and even daring, if it were to second contents of the second conte

The Secretary-General and the participating governments had to virtually from zero. There was no time for detailed planning, either in or in national capitals. An international command staff had to be gather the Canal Zone, and an <u>ad hoc</u> team of military advisers assembled overnighted Nations headquarters. Contingents, selected from the offers made, to be moved to Palestine within a few days after the adoption of the Assertesolution.

That UNEF did succeed in its initial tasks can largely be attribed to the ingenuity, skill and energy of Dag Hammarskjold; to the solid coresupport which existed in the Assembly; and to the prompt response of the governments which provided the original contingents; finally, to the fact