

sons the world has ever known have been great sufferers from some sort of disease. This whole teaching is contrary to all experience and absolutely opposed to the doctrines of Scripture.

What language can we employ to characterize the teachings of Mr. Hubbard that man has no volition of his own? This is pure nonsense. Man can and does act of his own volition, and it is this very quality that makes him a responsible being, and confers upon him the power of progress. This is just what the Christian scientist does when he tries to heal anyone.

In the latest edition of *Science and Health* by Mrs. Eddy, on page 7, line 23, we read: "God is not influenced by man. The 'divine ear' is not an auditory nerve." There is a good deal more of such stuff in the chapter on "Prayer."

On page 147, at line 24, we find a splendid example of Mrs. Eddy's egotism. After saying that our Master healed the sick, and practised Christian science healing, Mrs. Eddy goes on to state that He left no definite rule. With an assumption to be found nowhere else than in the writings of Mrs. Eddy she says: "This remained to be discovered by Christian science." On page 150, at line 31, we meet with this: "The hosts of Æsculapius are flooding the world with diseases, because they are ignorant that the human mind and body are myths." So it would follow from this that Jenner, Pasteur, Sydenham, Rush, Morgagni, Flexner, etc., have been veritable evil geniuses on the earth and curses to humanity, because they were not crazy like Mrs. Eddy, and believed that the body was a myth. What of poor William Harvey, who discovered the circulation of this "myth!"

How would the following help one in business, or enable him to go to his office with a carbuncle on his neck? Page 153, line 16, this appears: "You say a boil is painful; but that is impossible, for matter without mind is not painful. The boil simply manifests, through inflammation and swelling, a belief in pain, and this belief is called a boil." If a man with a carbuncle acted on this opinion, it would more than likely cost him his life, and not save his business.

But as an aid to business just take the following, found on page 155, line 8: "The chemist, the botanist, the druggist, the doctor, and the nurse equip the medicine with their faith, and the beliefs which are in the majority rule." So the active qualities of strychnine, morphine, etc., are in these drugs because the majority think they are there. This would be a marvellous way of conducting business. We would suggest that the majority just think that whiskey is endowed with nutrient qualities greater than milk, and, presto, the temperance question is solved!