

was strengthened by the successful part he played in connection the Venezuelan Commission. He was president of the Society of Comparative Legislation, and not many weeks before he died received an invitation from M. Saleilles to become a patron of the Congress of International Law.—*English Law Journal*.

---

### Book Reviews.

---

*The Law Quarterly Review.* July 1900. London: Stevens & Sons, Limited, Chancery Lane.

This number keeps up the high character of this publication. The notes of cases are in the usual crisp style of the writer, and selected with his usual intuitive knowledge of what would be helpful and interesting to the profession. The leading articles are as follows: The Near Future of Law Reform, dealing principally with a rearrangement of the Courts and especially the vexed question of Appellate Jurisdiction; the Consideration and the Assignment of Choses in Action, which gives the net result of the writer's enquiries into the subject as follows: "Equity does not, and never did, require a consideration for the validity of the assignment of a chose in action; but (a) Voluntary assignees of chose in action may be postponed (at any rate where their titles are not protected by the Judicature Act) to subsequent acquirers with a better claim; and (b) An imperfect assignment of a chose in action will not be completed by the Court at the suit of a volunteer." The Growth and Development of International law in Africa is exhaustive as well as timely. We have then Election between alternative remedies; the Rule in *Hadley v. Baxendale*; *DeNichols v. Curlier*; and the New German Law, and Contempt of Court and the Press.

---

### Flotsam and Jetsam.

---

#### UNITED STATES DECISIONS.

*Tenancy in common—Sale of logs by co-tenant—Refusal of buyer to receive.*—1. One of several tenants in common cannot cut and sell logs from the land without the consent of his co-tenants, so as to divest them of their interest.

2. Where co-tenant attempts to sell logs cut from the land without consent of co-tenant, the buyer may refuse to receive the logs upon the ground that he has not title.—*Nevels v. Kentucky Lumber Co.*, *Central L. J.*, 486.