

received six months' pay, as compensation, and to enable him to live until he could find 650
 employment. Even the late Attorney-General Weeks, as your Honorable Council will find
 on reference to the records, was allowed two quarters salary on being dismissed. Mr. Kerr
 received but one quarter's pay when dismissed; but on reference to the Minute of Council
 relating thereto, it will be found that he had already drawn \$1,265 for a few days over five
 months' services, and independent of that fact the case of your memorialist for *obvious reasons*
 is very different from that of Mr. Kerr. Your memorialist had served the public as Deputy
 Provincial Secretary for nearly eleven years, during which period not a single word of
 complaint was ever uttered by any member of the government against his efficiency or
 faithfulness in the discharge of the duties of his office. During the whole of his incumbency
 he was never one minute behind time, and was invariably in his place in the office at or
 before 10 o'clock, and notwithstanding the false charges advanced against him by the 660
 Herald newspaper, he flatters himself that he has ever been, in his bearing, courteous and
 gentlemanly toward all persons having business with the department, irrespective of class,
 creed or politics.

Your memorialist was removed, without a shadow of a charge against him in his
 official capacity, at the beginning of a long winter, without the means of living or any
 prospect of obtaining a situation or business by which he may be enabled to earn a living-
 hood for himself and family. Under the above circumstances, therefore, your memorialist
 considers that he is justified in expecting and believing that the present government will be
 as liberal towards him as former governments were to those whom they conceived it to be 670
 to the interest of the departments to remove from the service.

Trusting that your Honorable Body will take his case into favourable consideration at
 the first meeting of Council, your memorialist will ever pray, &c., &c.

HALIFAX, 16th December, 1878.

H. CROSSKILL.

EXHIBIT H. McD. 6.

A newspaper purporting to be the Morning Herald of the 20th November, 1878,
 containing an article entitled "Concerning Martyrs," as set out in Exhibit H. McD. 1,
 page 14.

EXHIBIT H. McD. 7.

MOTTON AND MCS EENEY,
 Barristers, &c.,
 183 HOLLIS STREET.

680

HALIFAX, January 18th, 1879.

GENTLEMEN,—Mr. Herbert Crosskill, late Deputy Provincial Secretary, has professionally
 retained us to proceed against you, as a proprietor and publisher of the Morning Herald, for
 having, on the 20th day of November, 1878, published in the Morning Herald of that date a
 libellous and defamatory article against him, and prejudicial to his character. Mr. C. has waited
 for some time in the hope that you would, in the columns of your paper, retract the same; but as 690