Questions

		Questions	
and province and to seem, our set would should be		Price per	
Barley—Grade	Bushels	Bushel	Value
No. 1 Canada western two-row	1,790.00	\$1.321	\$ 2,365.04
No. 3 mixed grain	17,662 · 46	1.091	19,274.70
No. 2 Canada western yellow	745.00	1.281	954.58
No. 3 Canada western yellow	26.156 · 13	1.281	33,512.72
No. 3 Canada western six-row	1,166,544.11	1.281	1,494,634.84
No. 1 feed	4,077,403 · 41	1.241	5,061,077.53
No. 2 feed	1,255,926.34	1.23	1,544,789.85
No. 3 feed	1,112,134.25	1.131	1,259,492.35
Tough No. 3 mixed grain	5,169.12	1.061	5,485.87
Tough No. 2 Canada western six-row	168 · 16	1.281	215.68
Tough No. 3 Canada western six-row	15,814.28	1.241	19,629.85
Tough No. 4 Canada western six-row	3,278.31	1.201	3,938.47
Tough No. 1 feed	213,850.32	1.181	252,611.10
Tough No. 2 feed	89,142.28	1.15%	102,625.40
Tough No. 3 feed	109,011 · 25	1.101	120,048.94
Damp No. 3 Canada western six-row	7,613 · 14	1.181	8,993.20
Damp No. 1 feed	5,975.33	1.111	6,640.48
Damp No. 2 feed	17,451.32	1.081	18,869.61
Damp No. 3 feed	7,508 · 14	1.031	7,742.93
Tough No. 3 feed heating	7,187.08	1.071	7,699.25
Tough sample ergot	896.00	1.05%	941.92
Rejected mixed earth and gravel	941.00	1.101	1,036.28
Rejected mixed heated	22,967.02	1.10	25,292.45
Rejected musty	742.00	1.081	802.29
Rejected mildew	7,658 · 12	1.101	8,433.65
Rejected initidew	6,440 · 42	1.071	6,899.79
Tough rejected No. 3 mixed grain heated	372.44	1.031	384.57
Tough rejected mixed heated	5.787 · 22	1.071	6,199.81
Tough rejected mixed fireburnt	551.39	1.051	580.09
Damp rejected No. 3 mixed grain heated	125.00	.961	120.16
Damp rejected mixed heated	9,558 · 14	1.001	9,570.24
Damp rejected mixed heated and heating.	76.02	1.00%	76.14
Sample No. 3 mixed grain heated	57.04	1.041	59.44
Sample mildew	10,329 · 26	1.08	11,168.82
Sample smutty heated	1,583 · 00	.921	1,458.34
Sample smutty	3,866 · 38	.951	3,678.29
Damp sample heated	5,434 · 46	.981	5,333.05
Sample rotten kernels	3,688 · 40	.981	3,619.67
Sample mildew rotten kernels	631 .00	.94\frac{1}{8}	593.93
Total	8,222,243 · 06		\$10,056,851.27

NOVA SCOTIA APPLE MARKETING

Mr. Anderson:

1. Is the Nova Scotia apple marketing board still functioning?

2. If not, to what organization would any benefit to the apple growers of that province be paid?

Mr. McCubbin:

- 1. It is understood that the apple marketing activities of the Nova Scotia apple marketing board have been suspended but the exact legal status of the board is not known to the Department of Agriculture.
- 2. Assistance is intended to be of direct benefit to the apple growers but exact method of making payment has not yet been determined.

RUSTICO HARBOUR, P.E.I.—REPAIRS TO BREAKWATER

Mr. McLure:

- 1. Has the contract for the repairs to the breakwater at Rustico harbour been completed?
- 2. If not, can the work be completed during the winter season?
 - 3. Who are the contractors?
 - 4. What is the amount of the contract?
- 5. Does the contract call for a time limit for completion of same?

Mr. Fournier (Hull):

- 1. No.
- 2. Yes.
- 3. L. G. and M. H. Smith, Ltd.
- 4. \$19,483.50.
- 5. Yes, December 29, 1951.