

Mr. BRYCE: Mr. McGregor, I wonder if you could give us any information in respect to how an Indian is going to be affected? We have got a lot of Indians working as crew on fishing boats and some of them fishing on their own, some of them are treaty Indians, and some of them are not treaty Indians. How will they be affected?

Mr. MCGREGOR: They are all insured if they wish to be, unless they wish to elect out under the scheme.

Mr. BRYCE: Thank you.

Mr. FRASER (*St. John's East*): I would like to ask Mr. McGregor what the reaction of the Newfoundland buyers was?

Mr. MCGREGOR: When we started off with the fisheries council, they were the ones who were a little more vociferous than the others. I think in the course of discussions they began to see that it was not going to be so severe on them as they first contemplated. We had a discussion with Mr. Max Lane, before we saw the fisheries council, as a matter of fact, and he went out of the office very happy about the thing.

Mr. FRASER (*St. John's East*): I see. Thank you.

Mr. STANTON: Mr. Chairman, some of the young men in my area, at the present time, are selling their catch to local grocerymen. In order to qualify, it would be necessary for them so sell their catch to wholesalers. The grocerymen are beginning to wonder if, by so doing, it will increase the cost of fish to them.

Mr. MCGREGOR: Did you say grocerymen?

Mr. STANTON: Yes.

Mr. MCGREGOR: They are buying for resale, I take it, and they would have to insure. If they are buying for resale, they have to insure.

Hon. Mr. GREGG: They have to look after the stamps.

Mr. STANTON: I just did not understand that.

Mr. MURCHISON: It has to do with the buying for resale as distinct from buying for consumption.

Mr. STANTON: Regardless of the size of the grocery.

Mr. BARNETT: Mr. Chairman, I have one or two questions relating to the statement that was read by Mr. Murchison, largely for the purpose of clarification. On page 8, under the heading "Contributions", near the bottom of the page, it reads: "Where necessary they will continue to buy ordinary insurance stamps to record contributions in respect of other employees, such as office staff". I was wondering if, for clarification, we might have some indication as to what other categories of employees will not be recorded as fishermen, for the purposes of stamps. I had in mind, for example, shore workers who had previously been insured. To use another example, the case of certain fishermen, employed in trap fishing at Sooke. It has been brought out either in committee or in the house by the member for Esquimalt-Saanich, in respect to these special fishermen that—

Mr. MURCHISON: They are covered?

Mr. BARNETT: They will be covered?

Mr. MURCHISON: They are covered now.

Mr. BARNETT: They will continue to be covered by ordinary stamps?

Mr. MCGREGOR: They are covered now. They have ceased operations since. They are not working at that any more.

Hon. Mr. GREGG: We got them covered before they stopped.