NORTHWEST REVIEW
admit that one who is in friend
ship with a holy God is guilty of sins which in their guilty ture expose him to eternal death. The fact of justification implies a passage from death to life, from sin to holiness. On the other hand the church in accordance with the plain statements of Scripture and tradition Sames iii 2; 1 Johni, 8 and v 16 has defined (Council of Trent, session 6 , canon 23) that no one
not even the most holy void sin altocether " special privilege of God. as the Church holds concerning the Blessed Virgin." Hence by inevitable consequence it follows hat some sins are mortal, others renial. There is an anology between human friendship and that of the soul with God. and just as some offences are sufficient to destroy friendship entire y, while others weaken it, so there are some sins which destroy, others which do but weaken the grace and love of God in the soul. There are some sins of which St. Paul says(Gal. $\mathrm{v}, 21$ ) that they "who do such things will not inherit the kingdom of God," and these must be distinct from less serious faults which none entirely aroid. This is the basis of the distinction between mortal venial sins."
The principle of this distinction is recognized in the courts of law. Paltry larceny is never visited with the same penalty as burglary or forgery. Nothing could be more immoral in its tendency than the lumping together of the greatest crimes with the smallest misdemeanors. But it must not be forgotten that venial sin is, in the cyes of Catho lic theology, the greatest of all evils after mortal sin, worse than physical death.
liguori's moral theology
Now for the quotation from Liguori:"If a man has stolen a raluable thing, then he is guilty ofmortal sin,but if he hasstolen small amount at different times, it is only a venial sin; but if all these small thefts in the aggregate amount to a valuable thing he is bound to restore the last theft, whilst he may retain the former ones.'
I have searched in vain through the six volumes of Li guori for this passage It would have been easy for the archdeacon to give us the number of the paragraph, but he has done no such thing. Consequently al that I can do is to examine the the passage on its merite. o steal a valuable thing is certainly a mortal sin. To steal a glman might alsom a very poor than might also be a mort sin, though if stolen from a rich man it would be a venial sin, be cause the harm done to him Would not be great. So far the quotation is not very much atray, but the last part of it is quite unintelligible and neither I nor any other Catholic priest will take the responsibility of it Another passage which exerises the Archdeacon's soul is byis, "When thefts are committed by children or by wives, a much greater quantity is required to make the sin mortal; and rarely are these held under strong obligation to restore." This I hold to be true and reasonable, because husbands and fathers are at supposed to be so incensed and children as to expect them
to restore what they have thus appropriated. Of course it would be better that children and wires should so restore and they are always exhorted thereunto, but what Liguori means is that the obligation in these cases is not generally so stringent
The Archdeacon's little joke about the Neapolitan rug hardly needs refutation. There are mor places than Naples in which a cabman cannot keep a rug in his carriage. Petty larceny is unfortunately common all over the world.
pascalis letters answered.
Archdeacon Fortin makes much of Pascal's Provincial Let ters against the Jesuits. He says it is "a book which has never been answered, which is in fact unanswerable." Unfortunately for the Archdeacon's knowledge of literature Joseph de Maistre, a writer on the same plane as Pascal, proved that his Prorincial Letters were a tissue of garbled quotations so that he called the whole work "Les Immortel
les Menteuses (the immortal liars) and Pascal himself regret fully admitted that he had trust ed to a deceitful friend who manufactared most of his quotations. The only example given by the Archdeacon is manifestl false. The Jesuit Lessius never taught that a man could kill an other for the theft of an apple. The fact is Pascal was in favor of the Jansenist heresy, and therefore very mach afraid of the Jesuits, who successfully 10 combatted that heresy.
the positive side.

The rest of the Archdeacon's sermon may be dismissed as irrelevant declamation. But now that I have done standing on the defensive, let me say a few words on the positive side of the Catholic doctrine. We think it unreasonable to go on recommending the Scripture and yet not learn its most obvious les. sons. For instance, the Archdea con gave as his text "Confess your faults one to another James 5.16. Now this is evident y a command not a mere recom mendation. If you read the context you will see that these words come immediately after the passage in which sick people are told to call in the priests of the church to anoint them with
oil in the name of the Lord. It comes as a corollary of this command, "Confess your faults one to another that ye may be healed." But there is another passage of much greater ccgency which I have taken for my text. In St. John's Gospel 20:21 we read: "Then said Jesus unto them again: peace be with you; as my father hath sent me, even send I you. And when he had said this he breathed on them and said unto them: Receive y the Holy Ghost." Note the solemnity of this preamble. The Father has sent Christ to do a way with all sin, and now He sends them on the same mission He gives them the Holy Ghost, the spirit of sanctification, of jus tification. And now come thes tremendous words, the force of which the Catholic church alone realizes: "Whosesoever sins ye forgive they are forgiven anto them; and whosesoever sin ye retain they are retained." The very form of this proposition makes it exclusive, only two things can happen to a sin, that it be remitted or retained, i. e., not remitted. The dilemna ${ }^{28}$
$\qquad$
perfect and inevitable. It amoun
to saying: "Those only shail hav
their sins remitted who receive that remission through you." The other part need not be expressed because all those who do not approach the persons to whom this power is given necessarily forgiven. It is as if Christ had
for not said what he really did say to Peter, "I gire you the keys of the Kingdom of Hearen; if you open not the door no one shall enter therein." No mention is made of the people who are to get in by the window or some underground passage. Thus the word of Christ cozfer a power which is exclusive in the ordi nary course of providence. To $h \rightarrow$ sure, the uncovenanted mercies are open to all smners who are unconscious of this, His pro vision, but the ordinary course of His providence is that sins should be submitted for remission or retention to those whose power Christ thus describes. But who are th se persons? The apostles to whom He spoke, but not they only. The same power
must b $\rightarrow$ co-extensire with the must bt co-extensive with the
object fur which it is given. Th object is the remission of sin. There will al ways be sin. There fore the power must remain until the end of time. But where is that ministry? Not among our separated brethren, for we have just seen that the Anglicans who claim the power, reject in their practice, and do not make it obligatory as Christ does. Eridently this ministry is nowhere in its perpetuity, in its universal practice, except in the Roman Catholic church
why a detalled confession is necessary.
The priests who exercise this power must know. the sins that are to be forgiven or retained. This supposes that the penitent makes a detailed confession. A confession in general terms will not suffice. We are ali sinners, but what the priest must know is, if the penitent is sorry for his sins, determined to forsake them and to avoid the occasions of relapse. Now this he canonly know if the sins are meationed in number and kiad. For ins.


tance, if a man :wenses himself
of drunkenness, it molkes an immense differenc. whether he ha got drunk oneci is a yer he has in a day. Iu the former case there is no confimed habit; $r$ form may be comparatively easy
In the latter case all the resous. ces of prayer and self-deniai will be needed to effect a reform If the penitent is evidently still under the influence of drink, no priest would think of absolving him. He would retain his sin, e, he would tell him to come back when he was sober
Nevertheless, just here it may bs well to explain a fact which our separated brethren do not seem to understand. Although the penitent must state the kinds of sin and the number of times he has committed them, he need never mention any circum-tance that would make himself or any other person known to the con-
fessor. Especially must he avoid all useless or dangerous detail In point of fact, when it can be done many Catholics prefer to remain unknown to their co fessor, and he has no right to inquire their names, nor can he in any wiy, by word ar $g$ s ture, by act or omission, let the penitent see outside of the con fessional that he remembers any thing he $h$ is heard.
As to the moralizing influence of the confessional, we have the testimony of Mr. W. T. Stead, who says that the superior pur ty of Catholics in the slums of Ldinburgh and Glasgow is due oine practice of regular confes sion. A Protestant gentleman of the large to me: "I knew in on States a set of studen if the United mades a set of students who to the viractice of laying stege of thuse who women, and on skilled in this diabolical art there was no hope of surt, cal with Catholic women buceding hey weat to confession." Oaly the other day Bishop Grimes, of Nenv Zealand, passed through Wimmipeg, and related to me an allecdote quite in point. When he was parish priest in Ireland, er, have my two parson said: "Fath been to confession?" "You had better ask them themselves," said Father Grimes. "Oh, I know." re-plied the parson,
that you priests talk about these matters; but


I must tell you that when my crvants go regularly to confes sion I feel quite safe about my
silver plate."
In conclusion, not only is au-
icular confession a ricular confession a good thing command in the New a special It purifies the soul Testament. to the morality of nations realizes as no of nations. It did the salutary effects of eve old dictum of the Grelt "K now thyself," Greek sage, habitually sifts his conscience and humbles himself before his fellow man, will find his counts in perfect order wh is called to the judgment seat of Christ

## A New Boarding-House For Small Boys.

The Sisters of Charity of St. Boniface
vielding to repeated request Yieling to rebeated requests from va
rious quarters, have dAtermined to va
dertale dertake the manazement of a boarding
house tor boys bet anhouse for boys between the ayes of six
and twelve. Special halls will be and twelve. Spacial halls will be set a-
part for them, where, nuder the care and
supervision supervision of the Grey Nuns, they will
be prepared for their First Commer he prepared for their First Communion,
while atteuding either the Preparato Departmenting either the Preparatory
tise classes of Provitace College or the classes of Provencher Academy. This
establishment will he know ing "L. The establishment will be known as "Le J Thie l'Enfance' (Kinderyarten).
lar ingituts already attained in lar insitututions of the Order give ine overy
reason to hope tiat the will fill a houg that this arrangemen Board and lodging wint cost six dollar a month. For the boys who attend Pro
venctier Academy thers will vencher Academy there will be an ad-
ditional charge of fifty cents a mont and for those who takic cents a music lessons, $\$ 3$
a month. a month.
Bedding
extra. The mending and wasting will be tre are willing to attend
to these extras on tern
with to thess extras on terms to be arranged
with them. The boys wite with them. The boys who attend the Cullege will have to pay the tuition fee
of the College College will ha
of the College.
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