LITHOTOMY versus LITHOLAPAXY.

The following table, compiled from various sources by Cabot, of Boston, for "Morrow's System," includes the records of many operators, and also embraces Barling's Tables irom six large London and six provincial hospitals, but excludes the statistics of surgeons who, by long practice and exceptional opportunities, have become exceedingly expert, as with Ferguson, Cadge and Agnew, in lithotomy, and Guyon, Keith, Keegan and Freyer in the operation of litholapaxy.

	Cases.	Deaths.	Percentage Mortality.
Perineal Lithotomy	602	19	3.1
Suprapubic	637	84	13.1
Litholapaxy	284	3	1.7

Group (b)—Puberty to Middle Age.

:	Cases.	Deaths.	Percentage Mortality.
Perineal Lithotomy	226	22	9.7
Suprapubic Lithotomy	159	18	11.3
Litholapaxy	485	22	4.5

Group (c)—Mic	ldle Age	e to Ol	d Age.
---------	--------	----------	---------	--------

	Cases.	Deaths.	Percentage Mortality.
Perineal Lithotomy	69	13	19
Suprapubic Lithotomy	91	17	18
Litholapaxy	581	40	7

The above statistics being based on the results of operations performed by various surgeons outside the "stone districts" will, perhaps, give a truer estimate of the relative mortality than the following table, which represents the returns of

320