ed in embar. pean groups Union and o the Assem. kers. Canada ement by Mr capacity as the Special ficial position an groups in arly outlined the utility of ns of "petty the offending  $\mathbf{ed}$ , and a  $\mathbf{al}$ ipation of all al system. The ented the reposition that A similar atti-United States Western impange in South ne final seven spects as arms theid in sports and the general anada support xcept the last, erminology and

osed. irst Committee mament que duced a record ie proliferation and explanathe complexity d the growing nuclear-weapon ress in the dis ars.

ethods that the

peal to nuclear nuclear testing calling on the hittee en Dis ve the highed of a comprehen he Sovie≒ Uni∞ e complete and ar-weapon test of the develop new weaponsd systems of sud

nsored by <sup>ser</sup> the Committee c Arms Limit nieved positiv about the ob se talks by <sup>th</sup> viet Union, and

of the talks. The resolution was opposed by the U.S. and the Soviet bloc on the ground that it misrepresented the achievements of the November 1974 Vladivostok Accords. Canada explained that it had voted in favour of the resolution in order to join with others in stressing the urgency it attached to early agreement between the U.S. and the U.S.S.R on further qualitative limitations and substantial reductions of their strategic nuclear-weapon systems.

## p<sub>eaceful</sub> nuclear explosions

For Canada, one of the most important ssues dealt with in the First Committee vas the application of nuclear explosions or peaceful purposes. Canada was among he co-sponsors of a resolution that, inter alia, stressed that it was not possible to develop nuclear-explosive devices for peaceful purposes without also acquiring nuclear-weapon capability. The resoluion, 3484A (XXX), was adopted in the Assembly with 97 members in favour, five gainst (Albania, Bhutan, China, India, Malawi) and 24 abstaining (including the Soviet bloc, the U.S.A., Argentina, Brazil, France and Spain). The U.S. and the Soviet bloc made it clear, however, that hey agreeed with much of the main thrust" of the resolution.

The Fifth Committee undertook its sual share of resolutions on financial and dministrative matters, which ensure the perations of the world-wide UN system. is its main task, the Committee recommended appropriations of \$745, 813, 800 for 1976-77. Growth over the past year as restrained, and enabled most major contributors such as Canada to support he resolutions covering the budget. While leveloping countries were unhappy with he slow growth in UN programs and evelopment activities, they have, in eneral, resisted pressure to apply their pting power to financial questions and thereby run the risk of eroding the support of the developed states, which are assessed the greater part of the funds required by the United Nations. There is a general awareness of the precarious financial situation of the organization and its dependence on the timely payment of assessments by major contributors.

Canada took a leading part in the mitiative of a broadly representative crosssection of UN members to promote a more responsible approach to financing the organization. The UN Secretariat replied to questions raised at the last session that clearly revealed the serious problems of the U.S.S.R. the UN and the probable growth of its elerate the part deficit in the absence of remedial action.

As a result, a 54-member intersessional committee has been created to recommend measures for bringing about a comprehensive settlement of the UN's critical financial situation.

The Sixth (Legal) Committee continued in a highly professional manner its consideration of the codification and development of international law. The General Assembly has made notable contributions to the development of treaties and guiding principles on outer space law, thus keeping pace with the rapid technological progress and activities of member states. At the thirtieth session, the items of major interest were the drafting of principles to govern direct television broadcasting by means of satellites and the consideration of the legal implications of the "remote sensing" of the earth from space. A third priority item, a draft treaty relating to the moon, was once again discussed, but little progress was made owing to continuing disagreement on the status of the moon's natural resources.

In discussing the question of directbroadcast satellites, delegates noted the progress that had been made in the Outer Space Committee and its Legal Subcommittee during the previous year, but had, nonetheless, to recognize that a reconciliation of opposing views was not yet possible on the sovereignty of states and freedom of information. Debate on the legal implications of remote sensing brought to light a tendency to polarize positions; many delegations called for an immediate draft treaty on "consent to sense" and the restriction of data-dissemination, while others demanded the "maximization" of benefits from remote sensing rather than the regulation of its use. A resolution on this item was adopted by consensus in the First Committee, inter alia directing the Space Committee to continue through 1976 its efforts to find agreement on a full set of principles to govern direct television broadcasting and its study of the international legal implications of remote sensing.

## Charter review

No other item before the Sixth Committee elicited greater interest than the question of the desirability of reviewing, if not revising, the UN Charter. The views expressed varied from those of states that were staunchly "anti-revisionist" to those of states advocating immediate, wide-ranging revision of the Charter. At the thirtieth session, this item was debated in conjunction with the regular item concerning the strengthening of the role of the United Nations. Discussions of Charter review Little progress on draft treaty on moon resources