

would have to be made at a high level and also that USA would probably in most countries have to take the initiative. Canadian role might generally be a supporting one. More precise conclusions on this point would be possible after your exploratory talks in the governor's session. For your own information we are here planning to explore possibility of making a direct appeal to India at the highest level in hope of winning its cooperation.

It was agreed that in the last analysis we must count on decisions about safeguards having been made in national capitals before opening of General Conference. Our team of co-sponsors and supporters must therefore be lined up during the summer and we should go to conference with a firm text of our resolution.

(c) Consensus was that detailed tactics for General Conference should not be laid down rigidly in advance. It was however agreed that it would be preferable to refer safeguards document to Administrative and Legal Committee. For your own information, though USA team at meeting to some extent allayed our fears that USA approach was mechanistic and over-rigid, we think it would still be advisable, in your discussions with your colleagues, to stress the virtues of flexibility in our tactics at General Conference.

Competent and friendly chairmen both in Administrative and Legal Committee and in General Committee will be needed. We were told that USA would not consider an East European for President of General Conference (and thus Chairman of General Committee) but had not yet found a suitable candidate. The USSR had not yet come forward with a candidate and might not do so because of Czech candidacy for presidency of UNGA. Ronders' candidacy for Committee Chairmanship was not mentioned, but we shall follow this up in due course in Washington.

There was also discussion of what USA called "collateral action" that is action which they may be prepared to pledge, either in their diplomatic approaches or at General Conference, to encourage others to support safeguards. Following is brief outline of discussion for your information in case USA delegation raises subject in Vienna:

(i) USA will pledge in private conversations that it will apply Agency procedures as set out in safeguards document.

(ii) USA is encouraging its bilateral partners to seek further co-operation through the Agency and trying to ascertain whether they will accept administration of safeguards in bilateral agreements through Agency (c.f. Washington telegram 1351 May 24);† notes are being sent to UK, Canada and France suggesting that they take similar action and also asking their bilateral partners to join in a declaration at General Conference that they are doing so.

(iii) USA is considering whether it should declare that it will open at least some of its national facilities to Agency inspection and hopes Canada and UK will consider similar action. Possibility of proposing to increase scope of Agency safeguards to cover larger reactors was under study, but on the whole it seemed this would not be advisable at present.

In commenting on USA remarks, Canadian side indicated that we were and always had been willing to apply Agency safeguards to our bilateral agreements but avoided any specific reply to the related question of enlarged co-operation through Agency which, as you know, might involve use of Agency as broker in sales. We expressed our doubt that a declaration regarding selective application of safeguards to national facilities would do much to convert the heathen. We agreed that it would be premature to discuss now application of safeguards to facilities of over 100 MW.

IV. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

(a) Though the UK participated rather as an observer, we do not expect that it will find difficulty in accepting the conclusions set out above.