

GOOD THINGS COMING TO THEATRES OF ST. JOHN

MARY PICKFORD AT IMPERIAL

Splendid Bill Tonight and Saturday—New Performers

Mary Pickford, the Arcturion star, who recently secured a tremendous success in "Rebecca of Sunnybrook Farm," is again portraying a delightful role of child life as she appears at the Imperial in the Arcturion picture, "The Little Princess," which is a screen version by Frances Marion of the book by the well known Anglo-American novelist, Frances Hodgson Burnett.

In "The Little Princess" Mary Pickford plays another charming role, depicting the story of Sara Crewe, known to thousands of readers of the novels by Mrs. Burnett. Sara Crewe, a girl just about the same age as Rebecca, and those whose hearts were captivated by Miss Pickford's performance in that familiar story by Kate Douglas Wiggin will see "America's Sweetheart" in another role equally delightful. For almost a half century Frances Hodgson Burnett has been writing novels which have entertained many thousands of readers. In 1899 her story "The Little Princess" established her as one of the most successful writers telling intimate stories of child life. Her novel of "The Little Princess" was followed by a number of others of this type, among them being the story of Sara Crewe.

The Imperial therefore has a delightful week-end bill. With Mary Pickford in "The Little Princess," the fourteenth chapter of "The Fighting Trail," and a Big V comedy screen, also the new Scotch comedian Harry Bennett and the new singer Annie Laurie Leonard, the Keith house will please everybody.

OPERA HOUSE BILL CHANGES TONIGHT

The Opera House vaudeville programme changes tonight, instead of on Saturday, the new bill including Geo. Leonard and Co. in a musical comedy, "The Gay Mr. Chaser," Howard, the Writing Marvel, assisted by Violet Howard, in a marvellous and mysterious exhibition of handwriting; Gracie Kramer and Gracie in a comedy singing and musical feature, "Clara Day in Georgia;" Lookhart and Ledy, comedy acrobats; Thorndike and Barnes, in a novelty comedy singing act; and the final and last chapter of the serial drama, "The Gray Ghost."

Two complete shows tonight, at 7:30 and 9 o'clock. Shows tomorrow afternoon, at 2 and 5:30; evening as usual.

SHOWING OF DAMAGED GOODS POSTPONED

The Lyric management regretfully state that the "Damaged Goods" film has been delayed by the last times at 7:15 and 8:45 on G. A. good show. Tomorrow a four feature bill full of good entertainment. See page— for particulars.

TONIGHT AT GEM, AND TOMORROW; ALL BIG.

Ethel Gayton in "The Stolen Paradise," and two good vaudeville numbers tonight at the last times at 7:15 and 8:45 on G. A. good show. Tomorrow a four feature bill full of good entertainment. See page— for particulars.

BIRTHS

McAVITY—On February 1, to the wife of G. Clifford McAvity—a son. O'LEARY—On February 20, to Mr. and Mrs. J. T. O'Leary, Eastmount, a son, weighing 12 pounds.

MARRIAGES

COLES-BLACKLOCK—On January 30, at the home of the officiating clergyman, Frederick G. Coles to Annie J. Blacklock, by Rev. W. W. Howe.

DEATHS

GILLIS—At his residence, 125 Main street, Parrville, on 1st inst., Edward Gillis, leaving his wife, five sons and four daughters to mourn.

SMITH—Passed away, at his residence, 44 Albert street, West St. John, Wm. J. Smith, aged 55 years, leaving wife, son and three daughters to mourn the loss of a kind, affectionate husband and father.

Funeral from his late residence Sunday afternoon to leave house at 3:30 o'clock.

MANCHESTER—On Feb. 2, 1918, at his late residence, Manawagonish Road, Ellabeth, wife of James Manchester. Funeral from St. John Stone church Saturday afternoon at 8 o'clock. (No flowers, by request.)

FUNERAL NOTICE

KNIGHTS OF PYTHIAS—The officers and members of New Brunswick Lodge No. 1, Union Lodge No. 2, and Saint John Lodge No. 30, are requested to assemble at 70 Dorchester street on Saturday, the 2nd inst., at 2:15 o'clock sharp, for the purpose of attending the funeral of our late brother, Robert S. Jackson, of New Brunswick Lodge. Service begins at 2 o'clock. Ordinary dress. By order of C. C. JAMES MOUTSON, K. of R. S. FRANK A. KINNEAR, K. of R. S. E. S. WATTERS, K. of R. S.

RESULTS OF LOCAL RED CROSS CAMPAIGN, NET TOTAL \$31,480

A meeting of the executive who handled the recent Red Cross campaign was held yesterday with F. A. Dykeman in the chair. The treasurer handed in a statement showing the exact result of the campaign. The full amount collected was \$31,480.71, from which the total expenses in connection with the campaign, namely \$808.71, had to be deducted, leaving the substantial sum of \$31,480.76 to be handed over to the local Red Cross Society.

The expenses in connection with the campaign were for advertising in the local papers and for signs, posters, stationery and cards, and indicate that the campaign was conducted very economically.

A list of the names of the subscribers will be published as soon as it has been possible to have this prepared. There are a number of names, and it will take some time to tabulate them.

FISHERIES CONFERENCE WILL HEAR BOTH SIDES

Boston, Feb. 1—Canadian and American members of the international fisheries conference today resumed hearings at which they endeavored to learn the attitude of New England fishermen toward proposed regulations for the joint use of ports of both countries by men engaged in the industry. Dealers in this section protested yesterday against a plan which would permit Canadian vessels to land catches at American ports. They agreed that while this would increase the supply for home consumption it would work a great hardship on New England fishing interests. Secretary of Commerce Redfield, chairman of the conference, announced today that the hearings would be continued until both sides had completed the presentation of their claims.

SIX KILLED IN RAILWAY COLLISION

Bellingham, Wash., Feb. 1—Six persons were killed and thirteen others were seriously injured in a head-on collision of a passenger train and a freight train on the Northern Pacific railway here, as the result of a runaway Northern Pacific freight train crashing into a coach of a Great Northern passenger train there last night. Slippery rails was the cause of the accident.

Piles Cured in 6 to 14 Days. Druggists refund money if PAZO OINTMENT fails to cure itching, blood, bleeding or protruding piles. First application gives relief. 50c.

NEW HEALTH INSPECTOR

Frederick, N. B., Feb. 1—The Frederick Board of Health, at a meeting held last night and attended by all members, accepted with regret the resignation of William McKay from the office of health inspector which was tendered on account of physical infirmities. Alex. C. Fleming was appointed to the office until May 1, next, when all offices become vacant and the annual appointments will be made. Mr. McKay had held the office for five years. A stroke of paralysis experienced some months ago incapacitated Mr. McKay for a time, but later he resumed his duties. Inspector Fleming was instructed by the board to begin an inspection for certification of vaccination on Monday next. Compulsory vaccination in Frederick was ordered by the provincial board of health some weeks ago.

TO VALUE HALIFAX REAL ESTATE LOSSES

Halifax, N. S., Feb. 1—The relief committee today in conference with representative citizens and members of prominent local organizations on the question of the valuation of all the real estate lost occasioned by the explosion of December 6 of last year. Last evening the committee held a meeting with the towns council of Dartmouth, and in the afternoon they met Sir Frederick Fraser, superintendent of the Survey of the Blind here, and Miss Rand, expert on the care of the blind, and discussed a number of matters dealing with those blinded in the explosion.

PERSONALS

James McQueen, of Shediac, is at the Royal. Lieutenant-Commander H. B. Howley, of the Royal Naval College at Halifax, was in the city yesterday, a guest at the Royal Hotel. Many friends of Mrs. Eagles, 40 Main street, will be glad to hear she is recovering after a severe attack of pneumonia. H. N. Stevens, of Amherst, N. S., is a guest at the Victoria.

Mr. and Mrs. P. D. Ingle of Woodman's Point, N. B., are in the city staying at the Victoria.

FIRE DESTROYS FACTORY ENGAGED IN WAR WORK

Harrison, N. J., Feb. 1—Fire today destroyed the plant of the Driver Harms Wire Company, which was engaged in manufacturing wire specialties for use in war work. The property loss is estimated at \$800,000. Investigation as to the cause of the blaze was begun by the authorities.

CLOTH WEAVERS ASK FURTHER WAGE INCREASE

Philadelphia, Feb. 1—Cloth weavers employed in fifty mills in this city went on strike today for increased wages. The strike was ordered last night at a meeting of the cloth weavers' union, following to union leaders about 5,000 workers responded to the call. Many of the mills effected are working on government contracts. The workers demand a fifteen per cent increase in wages addition to the advance granted by recent adjustments.

PASSENGER TRAIN STRIKES SCHOOL BUS WITH TRAGIC RESULTS

Nelson, Minn., Jan. 31—Two school children were killed and sixteen others injured, three seriously, today when a Great Northern Railway passenger train crashed into a school bus at a railroad crossing here.

RHEUMATISM GOES IF HOOD'S IS USED

The genuine old reliable Hood's Sarsaparilla corrects the acid condition of the blood and builds up the whole system. It drives out rheumatism because it cleanses the blood.

It has been successfully used for forty years in many thousands of cases the world over.

There is no better remedy for skin and blood diseases, for loss of appetite, rheumatism, stomach and kidney troubles, general debility and all ills arising from impure, impoverished, de-vitalized blood.

It is unnecessary to suffer. Start treatment at once. Get a bottle of Hood's Sarsaparilla from your nearest druggist. You will be pleased with the results.

PEACE RUMORS AFFECT MARKET

(J. M. Robinson & Sons' Private Wire Telegram.)

New York, Feb. 1—Peace information of a more definite character, so far as internal Tonic disturbances are concerned, has caused the withdrawal of offerings of stocks for long and short accounts in many leading issues, with the result that speculation of speculative and investment holdings elevated prices and may continue to do so, according to gossip in active market circles.

A short interest in U. S. Steel, built up on theory that the good news was out and the period of big steel dividends was past, has encountered aggressive buying for account of important operators who, for some time, have claimed possession of Washington information positively indicating the near approach of peace through Tonic collapse.

It is reported in some quarters of the street that the "Charge-off" of U. S. Steel directors in the last two quarterly earnings reports have been liberal to excess, and that when a final calculation takes place something like forty or fifty million dollars of "concealed equities" may appear.

The freight and fuel crisis is declared in well informed circles to have passed. Ships having been provided for, it is declared that the freight congestion is being rapidly cleared up through embargoes and indications suggest strongly that coal in New York will be sufficient from now on.

The interboro interests are reported to be planning to go into the shipbuilding business in order to use facilities that may become available with the construction of the new subway connections.

U. S. Smelting is being bought in some quarters of Wall Street on reports of very valuable coal finds.

For Cash Tomorrow

- 5 cakes Lenox Soap..... 25c.
- 4 cakes Ivory Soap..... 25c.
- 4 cakes Sunny Monday Soap..... 25c.
- 2 Babbitt's Cleansers..... 9c.
- 1776 Washing Powder..... 6c.
- Lux for..... 10c. pkgs.
- 2 Rolls Toilet Paper..... 10c. tin.
- Babbitt's Lye..... 5c.
- 6c. pkgs. Matches..... 5c.
- Wax Matches..... 5c. box.
- 35c. tin Sani-Flush..... 25c.
- 15c. tin Orona Hand Cleaner..... 10c.

2 DOZ. ROSEN PIPPIN APPLES

- 4 cakes Ivory Soap..... 25c.
- 55c. pkgs. Lipton's Tea..... 45c.
- 85c. pkgs. Ridgeway's Tea..... 60c.
- \$1.00 pkgs. Ridgeway's Tea..... 75c.
- 15c. pkgs. Pure Gold Quick Fruit..... 10c.
- ing in chocolate, custard, tapioca or custard for..... 12-1-2c.
- 35c. bot. Nut-tri-Ox..... 18c.
- 40c. English Biscuits..... 27c. lb.
- 17c. bot. Heaton's Pickles..... 12-1-2c.
- 35c. bottle Mixed Pickles..... 27c.
- 35c. bottle Chow Pickles..... 27c.
- 15c. pkgs. Macaroni..... 10c.
- 20c. tin Lombard Plums..... 12-1-2c.
- Western Grey Buckwheat..... 11c.
- 45c. bot. Maple Honey..... 37c.
- 1 lb. pkgs. Cod Bits..... 19c.
- 1 lb. pkgs. Seeded Raisins..... 12-1-2c.
- 22c. tin Grated Pineapples..... 19c.
- 40c. tin Sliced Pineapple..... 33c.
- 18c. Raspberry Jam..... 11c.
- 25c. Raspberry Sugar..... 97c.
- 20 lb. bag Sugar..... \$1.94

MARMALADE

- 20c. jar Betty's Orange..... 17c.
- 25c. jar Sheriff's Orange..... 21c.
- 30c. jar Stuart's Orange..... 25c.
- 18c. jar Betty's Grapefruit..... 15c.

CANNED VEGETABLES

- Early June Peas..... 18c. tin.
- Carrots..... 15c. tin.
- Canflower..... 15c. tin.
- Sweet Corn..... 25c. tin.
- 3 lb. tin Tomatoes..... 20c. tin.
- Sinach..... 20c. tin.
- String Beans..... 20c. tin.
- Lima Beans..... 20c. tin.

GILBERT'S GROCERY

LANSLOWNE ON PROSPECTS FOR DURABLE PEACE

Urges Frank Consideration of All Possibilities

HIS SOLUTION

Advocates Combination of Great Powers in International Tribunal To Prevent Future Conflicts

London, Jan. 31—In an address here last night Lord Lansdowne said it was untrue to say that a victory in the field could not be a really complete victory until one belligerent had got the other completely at his mercy. He added that the Allies had had a glorious success in the field, but he did not know whether any high authority, "at this moment," is prepared to tell us in a crushing, decisive victory in the field is within sight.

"On the contrary," continued Lord Lansdowne, "we constantly are told and are led to accustom ourselves more and more to the idea of a victory which can be accomplished by the gradual exhaustion of the resources, military and economic, of the enemy."

The speaker said he thought "that such a process of attrition necessarily must take a very long time to accomplish. He had seen it stated that it would take two years, and if that was so, "are we not justified in asking ourselves what a day or two years really means."

The speaker then told of the loss in lives and money, and by famine during the war and said he could draw a picture of what the end of the world would be two or three years hence, if a peace could not be obtained.

Combination of Powers.

"I submit that it is neither patriotic nor unchristian," Lord Lansdowne continued, "to think of these things. I say, then, let us endeavor for all we are worth to get our clear peace in good time. How are we going to get a durable peace? To my mind there is only one way, and that is by bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they will hold themselves bound to refer international disputes to some kind of international tribunal and under which they will diplomatically very often say that they are bringing about a combination of great powers. I do not mean a coalition of one group of powers against another group of powers. I mean a combination of all the powers, under which they