

\$5,000

North-west, brand new, detached corner residence, equipped plumbing, hot water heating, electric light, colonial veranda.

H. H. WILLIAMS, 10 VICTORIA ST.

TWENTY-SIXTH YEAR

DECISION AGAINST BRITAIN

IN THE NORTH SEA

International Commissioners Declare That Rojostevsky Was Justified in Doing as He Did.

Paris, Feb. 22.—A semi-official statement appeared tonight relative to the work of the international commission which has been considering the North Sea incident. It is as follows:

The commission gives no opinion on the question of the presence or absence of Japanese torpedo boats in the North Sea, declaring that the Russian admiral quite legitimately believed that his squadron was endangered and that he had the right under the circumstances to act as he did.

The commission's conclusions refer to the Russian government's engagements to indemnify the victims of the deplorable incident.

The report will be sent to-morrow by special messengers to the Russian and the British governments, not because it is liable to modification at the instance of one or the other of the governments, but because the commission's decision being without appeal, but the commissioners do not wish the powers interested in public opinion and the conclusions arrived at. There is nothing in this preliminary communication or report except an act of defence.

The public sitting for the reading of the conclusions will probably be held on Saturday.

Members of the commission who were seen tonight refused either to confirm or deny the statement, but officials having favorable opportunities to judge of the results of the enquiry consider the report to be substantially correct.

BRITAIN INDIGNANT.

London, New papers unite in sounding a note of discord.

London, Feb. 22.—A strong chord of indignation is sounded by the London daily newspapers this morning over the semi-official statement relative to the decision of the North Sea Commission, giving to Russia the victory, but it still is hoped that the publication of the full text of the commission's report may modify the impression produced by the preliminary version, which is one of intense disappointment.

THE DAILY MAIL goes so far as to declare that the decision has dealt a death blow to arbitration. Some of the newspapers blame the government strongly for ever consenting to submit some of the most important organs of the country to the arbitration, which is a war with Russia, and the decision that the decision must be respected and Great Britain's share of the heavy costs will be a crushing blow to the question of a neutral rights on the high seas in a deplorably dangerous precedent.

THE MORNING POST says: "A new doctrine of international law is established, under which the commander of a belligerent fleet may at his discretion destroy neutral vessels without any other plea than that he considers them to be a menace to his fleet."

Just before parliament rose last night, replying to the question whether the government would consider the fleet on the Dogger Banks was taken during the passage of the third Russian fleet, Premier Balfour said that his majesty's government placed implicit reliance on Russian assurances that they had taken the necessary steps to guard against the recurrence of any such incident and that special instructions had been given to what school system was to be followed.

HANDS OFF EDUCATION.

Major Laird of Regina Thinks Government is Wrong.

Regina, Feb. 22.—(Special.)—Newspapers here offer no particular comment on autonomy terms, definite news arriving too late for any expression of opinion.

Major Laird, a leading Conservative, and formerly president of the Conservative Association, said: "Aside from the agreeable announcement that Regina is to be continued the capital of the new province and the grants usually made upon the formation of new provinces, the features of the bill which are of particular interest to us are first and foremost the question of public lands, and, secondly, control of education. The government evidently admits that our claim to the lands is undeniable, otherwise they would not have made a grant in lieu thereof. There are two points to the question, and there is considerable in the government's contention that they are in a better position to handle public lands and give an immigration policy than a province would be. At the same time they should remember that the lands which we as an agricultural province will have to carry on our affairs. Canada generally gets the benefit of this at our expense the grant should be liberal and it would involve considerable research before forming an opinion as to whether the amount they have given us is sufficiently liberal as a quid pro pro.

The school question does not concern us much in the west as all parties are fairly well satisfied with the present conditions. Had the government embodied the separate schools in their bill the chances are that the new provincial administration would re-nest the old legislation which would give a dissenting voice. At the same time the administration of our province and property belongs to the province and I consider the government is encroaching upon provincial rights in dealing with the matter, which does not belong to them. Why should Nova Scotia or any other province have to state in what school system we did or did not adopt?"

Have a smoke. Try a Lord Nelson.

The bodies in connection with the Phobos street school have been adjourned at 7:30.

Second-class fare, \$5.00 a cord. Phone 121 and 122.

The Hotel of Montreal has taken over the New Carlton Hotel at a purchase price of about \$200,000.

The Toronto City Life Association will hold its annual dinner at the afternoon in Town 5, University Building.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

McMurtry, who is the proprietor of the high street, Millman, Toronto, has been awarded a license to sell whisky.

KUROPATKIN'S IN RETREAT

Outflanked by Japanese

Rumors Current in St. Petersburg That He Has Been Compelled to Retire from the Shakhe River.

St. Petersburg, Feb. 23.—Rumors are current in this city to-night that Gen. Kuropatkin has been outflanked by a strong force of the Japanese army in the vicinity of Simintin and compelled to retire from the Shakhe River; but official despatches, so far as made public, and the Associated Press' Mukden advises give no intimation that such a contingency is even remotely possible. Military circles scout the report entirely and say no body of Japanese of sufficient strength has been observed operating on the right Russian flank to force Gen. Kuropatkin to abandon his exceedingly strong position on the Shakhe without a hard fight lasting several days.

CZARINA COLLAPSES IN TERROR

Fears for Life of Husband

St. Petersburg, Feb. 22.—Fear of the assassination of the czar has brought the czarina to a nervous collapse. Six specialists are now in attendance on her in the palace in Tsarsko-Selo. It is said the imperial patient is in delirium and close to death, but so far no official announcements have been made and every detail of her illness is closely guarded.

The czarina, following the assassination of Grand Duke Sergius, became frantic at the thought that the czar would be the next victim of the Terror.

It has been reported that she believed the revolutionists would spare her baby heir to the throne, and that she gave herself to such gloomy brooding that her mind became temporarily affected.

The specialists went to Tsarsko-Selo last night on a special train from St. Petersburg. They held a conference this morning. The czar's condition, and his recovery, a German specialist in nervous troubles is now in attendance on her. The czarina was one of the closest friends of Sergius, his wife being her sister. The pitious grief of the czar had a disastrous effect on the empress.

MAKE LAW TO FIT THE CRIME

Urgency Won't Be Beaten

Canadian Captain Imprisoned in Montevideo Till Government Can Legislate Against Him.

Ottawa, Feb. 22.—(Special.)—D. D. McKenzie of Cape Breton drew the attention of the government in the house today to the plight of a Canadian sailor who was taken prisoner on the unprovoked American coast by the schooner Agnes G. Donahue, formerly owned by Halifax, left Nova Scotia last night for British Columbia. McKenzie endeavored to engage the government to send a ship to the scene to retrieve the sailor. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

There was no charge proved against them, although they had been arrested. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

Mr. McKenzie said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen. He said that the schooner was a large vessel, and that the sailor was a Canadian citizen.

MANITOBA JUSTLY ANGERED

Extension Project Nipped

School Question to the Front, But Protest at Present Focused on the Land Grab.

Winnipeg, Man., Feb. 22.—(Special.)—Manitoba is profoundly dissatisfied with the provision of the bill, and particularly with the statements of the premier in his introductory speech, in that the door now appears definitely closed to any reasonable extension of provincial boundaries. It is pointed out that the academic admission of Sir Wilfrid Laurier to the province is a difficult undertaking, and that the province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter.

The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter.

The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter.

The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter.

The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter. The province is entitled to be consulted in the matter.