

whole community. Whatever therefore tends to throw more weight into one scale of government than into another, tends so far to annihilate the coincidence.

A bill, some time ago, passed the House of Commons incapacitating all who were members of that house, to hold any place or pension under the crown. It was rejected in the House of Lords, because, said they, it implies what is not a fact, a repugnancy between the interest of the sovereign and that of his people. It is mere weakness to suppose, that the same persons should not equally serve both at the same time. I would not have the Commons of *Britain* to acquiesce entirely in this argument. Neither the King nor the Lords have an exclu-  
five