

The **Disarmament** Bulletin

A review of national and international disarmament and arms control activities

Paris Conference on Chemical Weapons	. 1
Banning Chemical Weapons for All Times	
Beatty Acts on Barton Report	. 4
University of Calgary Workshop on Verification of a Chemical Weapons Convention .	. 5
Prime Minister Mulroney Addresses General Assembly	
First Committee Meets With Success	. 9
Negotiations on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe	. 9
Ambassador Fortier Stresses Hope	10
Selected Recent Department of External Affairs Publications	12
Resolution on Arms Control and Disarmament (ACD) and International Security at UNGA 43	
Canadian Public Supports Canada's Role in NATO	14
Consultative Group members visit United Nations	15
Bilateral Arms Control and Disarmament Consultations Since September 1988	15
1988 Nobel Peace Prize Award to United Nations Peacekeeping Forces	16
NATO Publishes Statistics on Conventional Forces in Europe	16
European NGOs Hold Verification Workshop .	17
Clark Addresses Security and Cooperation	17
Grants and Contributions from the Disarmament Fund Fiscal Year 1988-89	20

The Disarmament Bulletin is published periodically by the Department of External Affairs. It is intended to be a source of information on arms control and disarmament issues to a broad spectrum of Canadians. If you wish to be placed on our mailing list, or need additional copies, please write to: The Editor, The Disarmament Bulletin, Arms Control and Disarmament Division, Dept. of External Affairs, 125 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G2. - ISSN 0715-7134 -

Cette publication existe également en français.

Paris Conference on Chemical Weapons



The Secretary of State for External Affairs, the Right Honourable Joe Clark, speaking at the Paris Conference on Chemical Weapons.

For five days in January, the media focussed public attention on the first major international event of the year. More than an ordinary "event," it was about a broken treaty, the repugnance of chemical weapons, deep-rooted fears and, not least, hope for the future. Add varying quantities of East-West and North-South tension, regional antagonisms and distrust, and we had the ingredients for the Paris Conference. It is not surprising that at the working level there was some initial apprehension that greeted President Reagan's September proposal for an international conference to reaffirm the 1925 Geneva Protocol which prohibits the use in war of chemical weapons, particularly since early reactions suggested the agenda might be unrealistically broadened. However, there was also a strong, shared

belief that something had to be done and soon - to ensure that states in future would not think they could resort with impunity to the use of chemical weapons.

A little more than three months. including the Christmas/New Year holiday period, was all the time available to prepare for the Conference, to take whatever action one could bilaterally and in group consultations to ensure that the Conference would avoid potential pitfalls and not end in disarray. The stakes were high indeed: failure of the Paris Conference would likely threaten the ongoing negotiations in the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva and, contrary to the original aim, add further fuel to the notion that chemical weapons were somehow a useful, perhaps even necessary, addition to national arsenals.

To understand what was accomplished at the five-day Paris Conference, it is necessary to understand what was not intended and what fell outside its reach. It is also important to be aware of the peculiar dynamics of multilateral diplomacy which, contrary to what some might have us believe, is not necessarily an exercise in finding the lowest common denominator.

The Paris Conference, although stemming in many respects from the confirmed use of chemical weapons by Iraq in the Gulf War, was not intended to be an international tribunal dwelling on those past actions, however repulsive in themselves. Furthermore, the Conference could not address the structure and process of the United Nations, which many felt should have done more after the first confirmed use of these horrible weapons. Clearly, in only five days it could not seek to strengthen