

228, 230, 232 DUNDAS STREET.

WHISKARD'S.

London's Cheapest Store.

THE BRIGHTEST AND BEST STORE IN THE CITY.

It's Indeed a Rare Day

When you do not find intensely interesting buying news in our announcements. It must be thus, or we would not hope to be forging ahead and growing greater and more popular with the lady folks, as we are doing from month to month and season to season. It's flattery to us to see how our methods are imitated; but that we still stand topmost and foremost in giving the best values in DRYGOODS, FANCY GOODS AND MILLINERY is an undeniable fact.

Bargain Spots

Are plentiful here. In every department there's something particularly special, calculated to impress the most economical buyers. A few hints from here and there:

RIBBONS! RIBBONS!

Our fame for Ribbons all comes from our determination to sell only the kind that you want. There is only one policy here. We make assortment a feature. We buy in large quantities. We keep a convenient Ribbon Department, a Safe Ribbon Department, Complete, Price-right and Worth-right.

WE HAVE JUST COMPLETED A BIG PURCHASE of a beautiful ALL SILK RIBBON, all widths all the best shades, which we will sell at FULLY TWENTY-FIVE PER CENT LESS than the regular price.

Special purchase of very handsome Silk Table Covers, embossed with gold work, knotted silk fringe. These goods are worth from \$1 75 to \$2 75 each. Our price \$1 25, \$1 50, \$1 75 each.

Have you seen our LARGE SIZE BLACK MUFFS, worth \$1 25, \$2? Our price 90c, \$1 25 each.

Seven pieces of Turkey Red and White Table Covering, worth 35c, for 25c yard.

A Hurricane of Handkerchiefs.

All sorts, all sizes, embroidered and plain, hemstitched, white and colored, about 500 dozen, 15c, 20c, 25c dozen. WHITE SWISS EMBROIDERED, 7c each, 3 for 20c. Special.

Millinery—Our Gain Is Your Gain.

We mean by that, when we buy anything cheap we sell it cheap. We don't hold it at the price that others sell it, but give our patrons the benefit of our good buying. We have been doing some good buying for you in our MILLINERY, and you have had the benefit. We have just received another lot of LADIES' FELT HATS. Call and see what we are selling at 69c each.

YOU WILL FIND HEAVY SAVINGS POSSIBLE HERE AT WHISKARD'S.

In the Dining-Room.

FRIDAY, NOV. 5.

BREAKFAST—Rice. Ham. Eggs with milk. Baked potatoes. Yorkshire breakfast cake (genuine "turn cake"). Coffee.

LUNCHEON—Ham salad. Hot Boston brown bread. English breakfast tea. Apple preserves.

DINNER—Bean soup. Squirrel pie. Mashed potatoes. Baked tomatoes. Celery. Coffee.

Cut out these recipes and paste them in a scrap-book.

Rice—One cup of rice, one teaspoonful of salt, one tablespoonful of butter, one quart of boiling water. Cook twenty minutes. Serve with cream and sugar.

Eggs with Milk—One pint of milk, one tablespoonful butter, one-half teaspoonful salt, pinch of white pepper. Let milk come to a boil, then stir in four well-beaten eggs; stir very gently three minutes. Much better than any omelet.

Yorkshire Cake—Three cups flour, three teaspoonfuls baking powder, one heaping teaspoonful of butter, one cup of milk. Roll out about an inch thick and spread on hot griddle. Cover with a basin and turn in ten minutes. Cover again and bake ten minutes more. A delicious breakfast cake—as every Yorkshireman will attest.

Apple Preserve—Six large apples, peeled, quartered and each quarter cut a second time; one pint of boiling water, two-thirds of a cup of sugar; drop apples in this syrup and cook until tender; nutmeg, cinnamon and lemon peel may be added.

Ham Salad—One cup of cold ham chopped (from which all fat has been cut away), one cup of sliced cucumber pickles, three hard-boiled eggs. Arrange ham and cucumbers in layers, saving sliced eggs for top; pour cream dressing over; garnish with olives (looks well with only the eggs for garnish), and is sure to be liked by the masculine part of the family.

Cream Dressing—One tablespoonful butter, one of mustard, one of sugar (may be omitted), one teaspoonful of flour, a little red pepper, yolk of one egg, one cup vinegar. Heat vinegar and butter together in boiling water. In other ingredients that have been previously well mixed. Cook three minutes. A nice dressing and will keep bottled for several days.

Bean Soup—One pint of beans that have been soaked in cold water over night, two quarts of cold water, small slice of ham, one small onion stuck with cloves, one heaping teaspoonful of salt, one saltspoon of pepper and one of mustard, pinch of cayenne. Boil slowly all day. As water boils away more should be added to keep the quantity the same. When done strain through colander, return to kettle, bring to a boil, pour into hot tureen and serve at once.

A Cure For Chills.

Dear Sirs—I used Hayward's Yellow Oil for chills this winter and found it most effective. It relieves the irritation almost instantly, and a few applications resulted in a complete cure. P. L'ESTRANGE, Fort Sydney, Ont.

OIL AND GAS.

Kent and Bothwell Have Plenty of Both.

Joe Peterson, proprietor of the Hotel Northern, Sarnia, has a gas well that promises to be a great success. On Saturday last the drillers struck gas at 345 feet, and going to 360 feet found still greater pressure. A valve was adjusted and the gas ignited. The flames, as the pressure was turned on, mounted to the top of the derrick. Experts pronounce it a very promising well.

Chatham Banner: Reports from Bothwell show that things there are dual in oil circles, although nearly all the wells are pumping steadily. The shipments of oil from Bothwell last month were larger than those of any other month during the year. In fact, there are several fine wells, but the reports have been greatly exaggerated.

Sarnia Observer: For some time past Sarnia township has been the scene of considerable oil well sinking by the Bushnell Oil Company, of this town. Wells have been sunk on quite a number of farms with more or less success, and now drilling operations are being conducted on the Green farm and the Hilliard and Young farms. All the wells sunk so far, with possibly one exception, have shown very strong indications of oil and gas, so much so that the company putting the wells down feel encouraged to continue the work, and we believe it is the intention of the Hilliard and Young farms, Lambton county, and if possible develop the oil territory. It is expected that before operations are discontinued the land from Lake Wawanosh to Sarnia will be covered with wells to ascertain if oil or gas can be had in paying quantities. The gentlemen who are at the head of these extensive operations are energetic and progressive, and it is hoped that their efforts will be successful to their highest expectations.

NEWS FROM ST. KITTS.

E. J. Musson, Jun. Sings the Praises of Dodd's Kidney Pills—He Was Edd-ridden for Months and His Strength Was Sapped by Diabetes—He Found His Cure in Six Boxes of Dodd's Kidney Pills.

St. Catharines, Nov. 1.—For several months E. J. Musson, Jun., one of the most capable painters in the city, was confined to his bed, a sufferer from that painful disease—diabetes. The complaint sapped his strength, fed upon his muscles, and reduced him to a skeleton almost. Then providentially, he heard of what Dodd's Kidney Pills have done in similar cases. He bought six boxes. This is what he says of their work: "After using the first box, I could lift light weights. I used the other three boxes, and now I am well. I have tried many other medicines, and consulted many doctors. All gave me poor encouragement. But Dodd's Kidney Pills cured me."

There is a macadamized road in India 1,800 miles in length.

Can't You Sleep?

Sleeplessness is one of the most frequent symptoms of heart and nerve troubles. It affects the cases and all ages. Milburn's Heart and Nerve Pills restore the nerves to healthy action and regulate the heart. Mr. Miles Brown, Fredrickton, N. B., tells how they work. "I could never rest well, and often woke up with a start, and then sleep left me for a while. These pills gave me almost immediate relief, giving me healthful, refreshing sleep, and I am now strong and well."

Western Ontario.

Death of F. A. Gunner, a Second Advent Preacher, at Listowel.

Mrs. Osler's Death at Rodney the Result of Her Own Act—Rufianism in Woodstock—Poisoned by Handling Wall Paper.

The Mayfair cheese factory will be closed for the season the last of this week.

The Windsor Pet and Poultry Association will have an exhibition early in December.

John Trace and Mrs. Burwell, both of Shedd, were united in marriage at St. Thomas on Tuesday last. In the interests of the Ridgeway library a score or more of the young men of the town are talking of getting up a local minstrel company.

Mr. D. H. Hunter, principal of the Woodstock Collegiate Institute, who has been ill for some months, has been ordered to California by his physicians.

Neil Dewar has sold his farm in Southwold, consisting of 100 acres, to Thos. Robertson, M. C. R. dispatcher, for \$3,200. Mr. Dewar will reside in St. Thomas.

Geo. Saxton, of Mayfair, while papering a ceiling inhaled poison from the paper. She was in a very serious condition for a few days, but is now mending slowly.

Rev. Mr. Reekie, who is going as a missionary to Bolivia, occupied the pulpit in Simcoe Baptist Church Sunday morning. He delivered an interesting description of life in that country.

At a meeting of the Tilbury football club Monday evening Lawyer McDonald was elected manager for the remainder of the season. No effort will be spared to land Tilbury at the head of the league.

The suit brought against the county of Oxford by Chief Police Skirving, of Ingersoll, for certain fees for the arrest of tramps, which the board of audit disallowed, has been withdrawn. The county is now paying \$1 instead of \$1 50 for each arrest.

Mr. Frank Hunt has accepted the request of his friends, and at the approaching municipal election will be a candidate for the reeve of Southwold. Mr. Hunt will make a good run, and will make a good reeve if elected, which he is pretty sure to be.—St. Thomas Journal.

Robert Bickley passed through Windsor Wednesday morning with all his household goods on a wagon. He said he had been farming near Jackson, Mich., for the past five years, and was now returning to Wheatley. "There's no place like my own," he remarked to the customs officer.

Mrs. Emma L. Stark, age 32, wife of J. D. Stark, died at Chatham on Tuesday last. She was taken very ill on Sunday evening, gradually declining until death relieved her sufferings on Monday evening. The cause of death was a complication of heart and lung trouble.

There died in Sarnia, on Nov. 2, at the residence of the widow, Mr. D. N. Morrison, Sarah Nisbet, widow of the late Alexander Turner, of Windsor, and sister of the late Rev. Henry Nisbet, D.D., of Sarnia, and the late Rev. James Nisbet, of Oakville. Deceased was in the 54th year of her age.

Mrs. Chas. Thompson met with a severe accident on Monday at Wallaceburg. While coming down the stairs at the rear of her residence her foot slipped, causing her to fall six or eight feet, breaking her arm and also her shoulder bone. Dr. Hay attended to her, and she is now doing as nicely as can be expected.

Provincial Government Detective Murray, who has returned from Rodney, where he was investigating the death of Mrs. Marshall, said that the post-mortem revealed that her demise was due to an operation performed by the dead woman upon her husband. The deceased was now in jail at Port Huron, where his identity was proven by the discovery of his wife's burial certificate in his pocket.

H. E. Elmer, Charing Cross, received a good promotion from the M. C. R., being appointed one of the dispatchers at the T. H. & B. division headquarters at Hamilton. Mr. Elmer has been a faithful and capable operator and agent for some years on the M. C. R., and his many friends will be pleased to hear of his promotion. He moved his family to Hamilton last week.

The announcement is made that the Kent Mills Company, Limited, have acquired the milling and farm produce.

Vanity in women is forgivable. It was Nature's fault, and we must be vain of our personal appearance, and we must be vain of our personal appearance, and we must be vain of our personal appearance.

The remedy for these conditions does not lie in cosmetics. Skin disease is caused by impurities in the blood, and by nervous disorders due to weakness and disease of the distinctly feminine organism. Doctor Pierce's Golden Medical Discovery is the only remedy that drives all impurities from the bloodstream, and fills it with the life-giving elements of the food. Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription acts directly on the delicate and important organs that bear the burdens of womanhood and motherhood. It makes them pure, strong, well and vigorous. No woman should be satisfied to go to bed with a complexion made hideous by unsightly eruptions and eruptions. No woman should be satisfied to go to bed with a complexion made hideous by unsightly eruptions and eruptions.

It is a druggist's business to give you, not to tell you, what you want. Write Thomas J. Harris, of Wakefield Station, Sussex Co., Va. "My daughter was afflicted with eczema in a distressing form. Dr. Pierce's medicine cured her after all other remedies had failed."

In sending for a free copy of Dr. Pierce's Common Sense Medical Adviser, enclose 3c. one-cent stamps, to cover customs and mailing only, if a paper-covered copy is desired, 5c. stamps for cloth-binding. Address Dr. R. V. Pierce, Buffalo, N. Y.

business carried on at the Kent mills property in Chatham and the Blenheim mills property in Blenheim from the Campbell-Stevens Company. It has also been announced that John Campbell, of St. Thomas, has taken over the Aymer mills of Aymer, and will conduct the business there under the style of John Campbell. These deals are large ones, and they include all property and the stock of grain now on hand at each of these places.—Chatham Banner.

Frederick Alfred Gunner, born in Clapham, England, Nov. 21, 1823, died at Listowel on Wednesday morning. Early in life Mr. Gunner entered the ministry and became interested in the Second Advent movement. He was president of the Evangelical Advent conference for 25 years.

Two strangers entered Marshall's pork store at Woodstock on Monday night. One engaged the attention of the proprietor, while the other proceeded to fill his pockets with eggs and other goods. Mr. Marshall noticed him, and asked the fellow, who the other ruffian struck Mr. Marshall a terrible blow on the head with a club, knocking him down. The men ran away, but were overtaken by a number of citizens, one of the men drawing a revolver, which he did not use, however. In the struggle, Mr. Marshall was successfully landed, and the other man succeeded in evading his pursuers.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D. M. & G. 709; Loring v. Loring, 12 Gr. 374. Appeal allowed. Costs out of the estate. Price (St. Thomas) for the appellants. McBrayne (Hamilton) for the respondents.

At Osgoode Hall, Toronto, in re Sherlock, Judgment on appeal by legates under writ from order of Alderman C.J., made upon a petition for the advice of the court under R. S. O. ch. 110, as to the dispositions of certain moneys of the estate. The bequest was as follows: "The £200 due from the Edwards estate in England . . . to be equally divided among the daughters of Samuel Langford Sherlock." The amount due from the Edwards estate was in fact £2300. Meredith, C. J., made an order declaring that the bequest operated upon and passed the whole of the Edwards debt. Held, that there was no gift which could be properly treated as a gift of the debt due from the Edwards estate, irrespective of the amount. It would be unsafe and contrary to rule to speculate as to what the testatrix would have done had she known the true amount due to her to be ten times what she supposed it to be, and as she did not give "the debt" in terms, but only a sum of money in terms, the court is bound to read the will as giving only the sum of money named and not the whole debt of which it formed part. Smith v. Fitzgerald, 3 V. & B. 2; Hotham v. Sutton, 15 Ves. 319; Horwood v. Griffith, 4 D.