

"*B. v. McL.*, appeal allowed. *Held*, that a general restraint upon action alienation attached to advice in fee which if unlimited would be bad common law, is not rendered valid by being limited as to time."

From this a lawyer might possibly make out that it was "held that a general restraint of alienation attached to a devise in fee, which is unlimited, would be bad at common law, is not rendered valid by being limited as to time."

Here is another:—

"Mr. M. then contended that the 'just and generous' canon of construction was not applicable to the section under review." From which the expert lawyer might possibly conclude that the canon of construction evoked by the learned counsel was that known to lawyers as "*ejusdem generis*."

Still another,—It was recently reported that a learned judge had said:—"As to the claim that to deny the right of the plaintiff to have his claims passed upon by the King's Court is in breach of Niagara Charter. His Lordship remarks that much of Niagara Charter is obsolete, and the Imperial Parliament has not hesitated, whenever occasion called for it, to legislate away its provisions."

This information must certainly be very edifying to the public.

We sometimes wonder that newspapers do not send their reporters to the public hospitals, in order that the public may have their enlightened and truly erndite views, as to how surgical operations are performed. This might be made a delicious morsel for the curious public, and would be almost as amusing as their attempts at law reporting.