

3. And such other objections that the undersigned Members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Act in question.

Signatures of Members:

H. Poulin (Ottawa Centre)
 N. A. Cafik (Ontario)
 K. Penner (Thunder Bay)
 J. Fleming (York West)
 G. MacFarlane (Hamilton Mountain)
 A. C. Abbott (Mississauga)
 G. Parent (St. Catharines)
 A. Nicholson (Trinity)
 P. McRae (Fort William)
 D. Ethier (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. The Commission failed to retain historic and traditional names in some of the proposed changes.

2. The Commission has failed to either give any reasons or in other instances adequate detail of reasons for proposed changes in constituency boundaries or the elimination of constituencies as such.

3. That the Village of Lancaster remain in the historical county of Glengarry-Prescott-Russell and that the name of this historical riding shall not be changed from its present identification of Glengarry-Prescott-Russell.

4. And such other objections that the undersigned Members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Act in question.

Signatures of Members:

N. A. Cafik (Ontario)
 C. Douglas (Bruce-Grey)
 D. Ethier (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell)
 V. Railton (Welland)
 A. Martin (Scarborough West)
 H. Poulin (Ottawa Centre)
 L. Hopkins (Renfrew North-Nipissing East)
 A. Nicholson (Trinity)
 R. Kaplan (York Centre)
 E. Whelan (Essex-Windsor)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. The Commission failed to comply with the provisions of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act respecting the Province of Ontario through its failure to provide in its recommendation a "reason therefor" as required in the Statute in Section 2, sub-section (1).

2. The Commission failed to give special consideration to geographical, social and community of interest considerations respecting the Township of Georgina by proposing to locate the said Township in the riding of Victoria-Haliburton with which it has little or no association.

3. The Commission failed to give special consideration to geographical, social and community of interest considerations respecting the inclusion of the Township of Scugog in the riding of Cobourg-Newcastle with which it has little in common.

4. The Commission failed to give adequate consideration in determining a proposed name for the riding of Durham West, a designation which will cause confusion with the electorate.

5. The Commission failed to take advantage of the population spreads available to them. Thus creating larger non-urban ridings than are required in the Act and consequently depriving rural ridings of appropriate representation.

6. And such other objections that the undersigned Members may consider appropriate in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act.

Signatures of Members:

D. Ethier (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell)
 H. Poulin (Ottawa Centre)
 A. Nicholson (Trinity)
 A. Martin (Scarborough West)
 C. Douglas (Bruce-Grey)
 P. McRae (Fort William)
 L. Hopkins (Renfrew North-Nipissing East)
 J.-J. Blais (Nipissing)
 V. Railton (Welland)
 N. Cafik (Ontario)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. It has been estimated that, pursuant to changes made in the boundary lines proposed for the new riding of Scarborough South arising from representations made before the Commission in the Autumn of 1975, that riding is now contiguous with the existing riding of Scarborough West for the extent of 90% of the population within the boundaries of existing Scarborough West and proposed Scarborough South.

It is therefore submitted that there should be no name change in the existing riding of Scarborough West and that this existing name should be substituted for that of Scarborough South.

2. In view of (1), the proposed riding of Scarborough West, containing as it will only some 10% of the existing riding of Scarborough West, should be changed in name.

3. In view of the long history of the riding of York Scarborough, it is proposed that this same name be continued rather than substituting the new name of Scarborough North. The proposed riding boundaries continue to