805

in the care of those who cannot pay more than \$1.00 a day. The new General has 520 public ward beds, the Western 150, and St. Michael's 200. This is ample to meet for many years to come all the needs of the city for charity patients. Neither government nor the city will advance capital for new hospitals under these conditions.

Mr. A. R. Hassard, a lawyer, is reported in the Star as follows:-

"You are asked to pay from \$20 to \$40 a week for room and attention, and what do you get? A room that if you were shown it in a boarding house you wouldn't pay more than \$2.50 or \$3 per week? Meals—a little milk and the yolk of an egg. Attention—some young man just through college, who gets no remuneration for his services except the experience which he may gain by the mistakes he makes during the year, and which assist to make him a better physician when that year is up."

"When a man is sick he is the legimate prey of physicians and undertakers. Why shouldn't physicians and undertakers—yes, and

even hospitals-come under a taxing official?"

Such talk either displays great ignorance, or extreme ill-temperperhaps both. Such fulminations may be dismissed as thunder without the lightning—noise that harms no one, and can only scare those who are ignorant of every form of natural phenomena, including those people who utter such opinions as the foregoing.

The following is the latest move. It is easy to secure hospitals on such a plan. A deputation from the east end to-day waited upon the Board of Control. They requested a grant of land on the banks of the Don for a hospital site on the proposed extension of Danforth avenue. The land they ask is owned by the city, and they want a site, together with a sum of \$300,000 for building.

THE FRIEDMANN "CURE" UNDER THE BAN.

On 29th May the Board of Health of New York, adopted the fol-

lowing resolution :-

"Whereas certain tests of the efficiency and safety of an alleged cure for tuberculosis now being made in this city are now being rendered unsatisfactory, unscientific and practically futile through the insistence of the originator of the alleged remedy on conditions which involve inadequate observations, inaccurate methods of administration and the insistence on secrecy regarding the substances employed in some phases of the treatment, and Whereas evidence is already at hand to