- 2. "I am the living bread which came down! live for ever." Ver. 51.
- me." Ver. 51.
- ever." Ver. 58.

the mouth of our Saviour himself, to him that receives in one kind, under the form of bread. drank, not eaten. Therefore communion in one kind is sufficient, ac-{in one kind is sufficient for salvation? cording to the gospel of Christ.

5. "Wherefore, whosoever shall eat this bread, and drink this cup of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the body and blood of the Lord." Cor. xi. 27.

Here Protestants may remark one of the most notorious wilful corruptions of the text that ever was in their Figlish translations of the Testament where the word and is inserted instead of the word For, in the Greek Testament, in all their own editions, and in all the ancient manuscripts, from whence they pretend to have made or corrected their English translation, the word on is found in the text instead of the word AND. disgrace of the translators.

drink this cup of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the body and blood of the Lord."

It is no hard matter to guess why the English translators corrupted this text, and inserted the that is essential to it, is received by communion in word and instead of on; for if they had left the one kind; and the only reason of the Protestant's word on standing in the text thus, "Whosoever persuasion, that communion is but half of the sacshall eat this bread, on drink this cup of the Lord rament, is because they are infidels in point of the unworthily, &c.," the plain meaning of it will be, that whosoever receives in either kind unworthily is guilty both of the body and blood of our Lord. Now, if communion, though in one kind only, makes the unworthy communicant guilty both of been taught to exclaim against the priest of the of the body and blood, then, by a necessary consequence, a worthy communion, though but in one kind, makes the worthy communicant partaker both of body and blood; and consequently, the whole sacrament is received in either kind; which is so strong an argument for communion in one kind, that to conceal it from the eyes of Protestants, the translators of their Bible and Testament thought well to corrupt the text, and put in the word and instead of the word on; that so the word of tant from their sacrament, as heaven is from the God may seem to speak in favour of the Refor-learth, it is evident that, by this doctrine, they have mation.

Again: in this, like detriment is threatened to him from heaven, if any man eat of this bread he shall who receives unworthily in one kind as in both: "Whosoever shall cat this bread, on drink the cup 3. "So, he that cateth me, even he shall live by of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the body and blood of the Lord." Like benefit is also 4. "He that eateth of this bread shall live for promised to him that worthily receives in one kind as in both: He that eateth this bread shall live for Four times is everlasting life here promised by ever: that is, he that receives worthily in one kind under the form of bread shall live for ever. Now if For the holy Scripture threatens like detriment to him that which is eaten in this sacrament is only one that receives unworthily in one kind as in both; and kind, because the other kind, that is, the cup, is promises like benefit to him that worthily receives Yet our Saviour declares that, in one kind as in both; do not Catholics rightly by what the faithful eat in this sacrament, they re- judge from thence, that under each kind the true and ceive Christ himself, and with him everlasting life. entire sacrament is received, and that Communion

The true cause of that inveterate childish prejudice, which Protestants, from their infancy, have imbibed from their parents and nurses, against communion in one kind, is, in reality, their want of a true faith in the sacrament itself. For had they but a true faith of it, that the body and blood of Christ is there really present, and, not only the body of Christ present, under the form of bread, and the blood only of Christ under the form of wine; but, that both body and blood, Christ himsel entire true God and man, is really present, and received the same in one kind as in both; had they, I say, this true belief, they might then without diffi-So that, they culty understand that the sacrament is whole and have made the text false in the translation, which entire in one kind. For, since the grace of this they have left true in all the originals, to the eternal sacrament is wholly derived, not from the outward The true text then is form and appearances of the elements, but from the real presence of our Saviour Christ ; and since our "Wherefore, whosoever shall eat this bread, on Saviour Christ is really present and received entire, the same in one kind as in both, it must be evident to all who have this true belief of the eucharist, that the whole sacrament, with all the grace sacrament itself, and neither believe that Christ is received in one kind nor in both. O how wonderful, in this point, is the religion of Protestants! That they, who by their ministers have so long Church of Rome, for defrauding the laity of the cup, or, as they usually term it, of the sacrament of Christ's blood, cannot all this while reflect, that themselves are by their ministers, defrauded both of body and blood! For, it is very well known to us, and believed by themselves, that in their sacraments, they have nothing but bread and wine: and since their ministers teach, that the body of Christ is no where but in heaven, and as far disdestroyed, as far as in them lies, the whole sub-