do so and continues to entrust business to the agent, the sureties are not liable for any money collected by the agent after the discovery. The sureties are discharged, however, only from the time such discovery is made by the employer, and not from the time he might with due diligence have discovered the shortage. The employer is not bound to use diligence to make the discovery, but must report it as soon as made.-Connecticut Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. Scott et al.; (Supreme Court of Kentucky, January, 1884).

Letters-Mailing - Presumptions.-The fact of mailing a letter properly addressed, with postage prepaid, creates no legal presumption that it was duly received, but it is meroly a fact, which is to be weighed, along with other evidence, in determining the question, and to which no more presumption attaches than to any other fact.-Sullivan v. Kuykendall; Kentucky Court of Appeals.-17 Chicago Leg. News, 218.
Telegraph Company-Conditions.-The printed blank forms in common use by a telegraph company contained the following condition: "No claim for damages shall be valid unless presented in writing within thirty days after sending the message" : and beneath the blank space for message and place of signature was printed in large type: "Read the notice and agreement at the top." Held, that one who filled up, and signed, a message upon such blank form was presumed to have had notice of such condition, and was bound by it as a part of the contract with the company. Held also, that the same was a reasonable stipulation, and not contrary to public policy.-(Cole v. West.Union Tel. Co.) Sup. Ct., Minn.; N. W. Rep., Feb. 28.

## GENERAL NOTES.

"George Eliot", relates the following characteristic
anecdote of Carlyle:-"Carlyle was very angry with
Emerson for not believing in a devil, and to convert
him took him amongst all the horrors of London-the
gin-shops, etc.-and finally to the House of Commons,
plying him at every turn with the question, 'Do you
believe in a devil noo?""
The Paris correspondent of the Daily Neios says that
at the Bourges Assizes, a youth, aged 13, named Went-
zeis, apprentice to a confectioner, was tried formurder-
ing his master. The prisoner cynically . admitted that
the idea of the murder had suggested itself to his
mind while reading Emile Richebourg's novel, "I Belle Julie," from which he gathered that nothing more could be done to an assassin under 14 than to confine him in a House of Correction till 21 . His calculation was correct. On a verdict of guilty beips rendered, the judges were bound by the Code to mako an order to that effect.
The Supreme Court of Miohigan in the case of Bacon v. R. R. Co. ( 21 N. W. Rep. 324) decided that an action can be maintained against a corporation for libel ; and that where a corporation, by its superintendent, pre pares and sends a "discharge list," assigning a criminal act as a reason for the discharge of an emplosee to its agents, and it reaches its destination and is rea by such agents, this is sufficient publication to support an action for libel. The question whether such a com munication should be considered as privileged was not raised in the trial court, and was, therefore, not passed upon in the decision.
In Stwinburn v. Ainslie, in the Chancery Division of the English Court ( 33 Weekly Reporter, 195) severanco of trees from land by the wind was under considers tion. Testator made his will in October, 1873, devisis/ his real property. In December and January follor ing, violent storms blew down a large number of trees on the property, and in February testator died without having taken any steps in regard to them. Held, the those which were substantially blown down had be come personalty. In applying the test as to what should be deemed severed, Pearson, J., said: "A troe is substantially blown down if the tree is so far blow down that the tree cannot grow as a tree any longer $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ the ordinary sense in which a tree grows. A tred which is blown down within three feet of the ground cannot grow as a tree ordinarily grows, because a srest number of the roots must be out of the ground. A tre that is simply lifted, and is no longer in the perpor dicular, can grow as a tree."
In connection with the recent decision of our $\mathrm{Sa}^{-}$ preme Court holding Franklin Pierce to be guilty of manslaughter in causing the death of a patient $b$ gross and wilful negligence although there was no or or intent, a recent German case is of interest. A phrpis cian was held liable for negligence under these ciroum stances: A servant, who received a wound in the cheof in April last, died from septicemia under the oare a this doctor, who, despising antiseptic dressings, treateot his patient according to ancient usages. The cour held that every medical practitioner should keep him self informed on the accomplished progress of sciencol and have an exact knowledge of modern systems treatment. If these had been employed the patien for life might have been saved. Hence the liabiiity for negligence. The Court of Appeals sustained the jud ment.-Boston Lavo Record.
"George Eliot" went to the Tichborne trial, and gives her impressions in a letter to a friend:-" "0 have been to hear Coleridge addressing the jury of the Tichborne trial-a very interesting occasion to mbe He is a marvellous speaker among Englishmen; an exquisitely melodious voice, perfect gesture, and power of keeping the thread of his syntax to the en of his sentence, which makes him delightful to foll The digest of the evidence which Coleridge gives is of the best illustrations of the value or valueless
of testimony thet of testimony that could be given."

