

ELEVENTH YEAR. DECEIT AND COLLARS.

Mr. R. T. Waite and the Friend at Court.

THE BUFFALO MAN'S SHARP WORK.

How the Toronto Architects Came in for a Bad Deal in Connection with the Parliament Buildings - Hon. C. F. Fraser Asks Nobby in the Job - Province Will Have to Pay a Pretty Penny in Connection with a Structure That Was Supposed to Be Erected Substantially and Cheaply.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

MANY MURDERERS MADE GLAD.

ALL ELECTROCUSSIONS INDEFINITELY POSTPONED.

How He Favors American Contractors Against Canadian Bidders.

MONTREAL, April 30.—A recent incident brought to light upon the readiness of Mr. Waite to a charge that Jobbery had been done in the country and that he was not competent for the office of Premier. He is a remarkably able man. He is a vigorous man, in mind and body. His heart is in his work. He has not a day's holiday. His knowledge of Ontario affairs is equal to that of Mr. Howes, while he has not the embarras of the latter.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THRESHING OUT OLD STRAW.

MR. HOWES'S HOUSEHOLD ARCHITECTS.

MR. CHARLTON BRINGS UP THE JESUIT ESTATES QUESTION.

OTAWA, April 30.—In the Commons today Mr. Charlton, in amendment to motion for Committee of Supply, moved his resolution respecting the Jesuits' estates legislation. The motion had been considerably modified since notice was given last week and now read as follows: "That regard be had to the fact that the committee of the Legislative Assembly of Quebec to pass the act of 1882, Victoria, chap. 15, entitled An Act Respecting the Settlement of the Jesuits Estates, was called by the Government of the day and was established in various sections, and in view of the feeling of dissatisfaction excited thereby and generally of the constitutionality of the act, and in view of the fact that the act is a violation of the provisions of an independent and original jurisdiction."

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THIS LOOKS LIKE A TRAGEDY.

MR. MERRIDITH'S MEN.

MR. MERRIDITH'S MEN.

As the charges made against Mr. Merridith, that the men about him are not of the timber and calibre of Cabinet ministers. Let us examine this somewhat in detail: Mr. Merridith, no one pretends to say, is not competent for the office of Premier. He is a remarkably able man. He is a vigorous man, in mind and body. His heart is in his work. He has not a day's holiday. His knowledge of Ontario affairs is equal to that of Mr. Howes, while he has not the embarras of the latter.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

NEW NOVEL LOVE'S A TYRANT.

ONE CENT.

MR. MERRIDITH'S MEN.

As the charges made against Mr. Merridith, that the men about him are not of the timber and calibre of Cabinet ministers. Let us examine this somewhat in detail: Mr. Merridith, no one pretends to say, is not competent for the office of Premier. He is a remarkably able man. He is a vigorous man, in mind and body. His heart is in his work. He has not a day's holiday. His knowledge of Ontario affairs is equal to that of Mr. Howes, while he has not the embarras of the latter.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

THE TRACTS OF THE CASE.

Chiefly the story of the transaction, as obtained from those who know whereof they speak, is this: In 1880 the Government called for competitive designs for the new buildings, emphasizing and 'telling' the stipulation that the building should not cost more than half a million dollars.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.

IT LOOKED LIKE TROUBLE.

It looked like trouble. The case of the Jesuits' estates legislation, which had been introduced in the House of Commons, was a subject of much interest to the public. The bill, which was introduced by Mr. Charlton, provided for the settlement of the estates of the Jesuits in Canada. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882. The bill was introduced in the House of Commons on April 30, 1882.