

not one ally left, that will aid us, unless it be for our money. As the Tories have always deceived and betrayed our friends, to raise the power of France, and to favour the interests of the Pretender directly; and, on the other hand, as the Whigs have abused and deceived them, through weakness, envy, and timidity. As we have run ourselves ninety millions more in debt, without putting it out of the power of our inveterate enemy to injure us; have only irritated him without crushing him, when our foot was on his neck. I say, as this is the true state of our case, we must soon expect the enemy will attack us with advantage, and destroy us, without mercy. Into such a deplorable situation, have the base envy, and jealousy of the Whigs, and their hatred to one great and good man, together with the treachery of the Tories, brought us.

XIX. *Rem.* VI. The advocates for the present minister declare the government to be in the hands of the Tories, and that in the two last reigns, those Tories were under a proscription, and excluded from all places of honour, trust, and profit, which drove them into rebellion. Now, as we have proved above, that a Tory cannot possibly be a loyal subject or friend to a parliamentary king, or to the Protestant succession in the house of Hanover, whilst they believe there is a right heir in a lineal descent; it is astonishing, that the present minister should suffer his advocates to make such declarations of the principles of those in power, and about the person of the king. But, if the Tories, in the two last reigns, were proscribed from offices under the government, did not our kings do right, since those wise-acres are so honest as to declare, that their loyalty was so lukewarm, as to suffer them to run into rebellion, because they were excluded from the emoluments of government; and hence, that they must have been bribed by office even to the external appearance of loyalty? Does such conduct agree with the doctrines of passive obedience and non-resistance, or with the reverence they now profess for the prerogative; or with a principle of loyalty and affection for the Hanover family?

If, in the two last reigns, the Tories would have faithfully and zealously have served the Hanover princes, in case they had been admitted into place, power, and profit, where were their principles? Where was their affection for the Stuart race, and for their country? Or, where was their integrity, that they could forsake *the first* and renounce the *last*, and hence shew, that they did not possess one patriotic virtue? Would they have abandoned all the Tory principles, they had sucked in with their religion, and their pap, if they had been obliged with places? Could they have divested themselves of all the prejudices