

Order Paper Questions

CREEK ROAD MUNICIPAL DRAIN

Question No. 4,614—**Mr. Dick:**

Will the Government be contributing more funds to the Eastern Ontario Subsidiary Agreement to assist in the construction of the Creek Road Municipal Drain in the Township of Front of Leeds and Lansdowne, which is urgently needed as it will be of great benefit to agriculture in the area, and, if so, on what date?

Hon. Ed Lumley (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce and Minister of Regional Economic Expansion): In so far as the Department of Regional Economic Expansion is concerned:

At this time, Canada is not considering an appropriation of supplementary funds and the Province has expressed, in writing, a similar position on this issue. Assistance for the Creek Road Municipal Drain will be subject to the availability of surplus funds within the present total funding limit of the Agreement.

* * *

[English]

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, if questions Nos. 4,800 and 4,962 could be made orders for returns, these returns could be tabled immediately.

[Translation]

Madam Speaker: The questions enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered. Is it the pleasure of the House that questions No. 4,800 and No. 4,962 be deemed to have been made orders for return?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

[Text]

COMMUNITY SERVICES CONTRIBUTION PROGRAM

Question No. 4,800—**Mr. Howie:**

1. For the program year (a) 1980 (b) 1981 (c) 1982 (d) 1983 to date, what amount was expended under the provisions of the Community Services Contribution Program in (i) Fredericton (ii) New Brunswick?

2. For each year, what were the names of the projects supported and what was the amount awarded to each project?

Return tabled.

FARM CREDIT CORPORATION

Question No. 4,962—**Mr. Benjamin:**

1. With regard to the Farm Credit Corporation and its operations in the Province of (a) Manitoba (b) Saskatchewan (c) Alberta, for the required review of loan applications and/or loan agreements between farmers and, or through, the Corporation, which individuals and firms are authorized to perform legal services, give legal advice or opinions, review applications and/or agreements, or to act in any other legal or review capacity on behalf of and/or for (i) the Corporation (ii) clients of the Corporation (iii) individuals attempting to or actually negotiating loans or other agreements with or through the Corporation?

2. For each of the (a) individuals (b) firms (i) on what date did they first become eligible to do this work or perform this service (ii) for how long a term are they eligible?

3. Was the individual or firm appointed for a fixed term and, if so (a) what was the term (b) on what date and for how long has the term been renewed and, if not renewed, for what reason (c) how often and on what date has the eligibility been reviewed?

4. Was the individual or firm appointed for an indefinite term and, if so (a) for what reasons (b) are reviews made and (i) if so, how often and on what date (ii) if not, for what reason?

5. What are the (a) general (b) specific criteria for the selection of these individuals and/or firms for the performance of, or the eligibility to undertake, these duties?

6. What are the review criteria, if any?

7. Are reviews undertaken and (a) if not, for what reason (b) if so (i) how many individuals and firms have been reviewed (ii) as a percentage of total eligible and total reviewed, how many have not received renewals (c) how has each individual and firm met, failed to meet and/or surpassed the eligibility criteria?

8. For each individual and firm, broken down by (a) local area (b) town and/or city (c) region (d) FCC region (e) province (Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta) (f) the three provinces in total and for (i) actual dollar amounts, and as a percentage of the total actual dollar amount, by grouping (ii) actual number of clients and/or transactions, and as a percentage of the total number of clients and/or transactions, by grouping, what was/is the amount of work carried out, worked on, and currently in progress?

Return tabled.

[Translation]

Mr. Evans: I would ask, Madam Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Madam Speaker: Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

[English]

Mr. Stevens: Madam Speaker, as this is the third parliamentary secretary I have had to deal with, I would like to give him a challenge. Question No. 2,042 asked by myself on January 29, 1981, with respect to the total cost of the Prime Minister's December, 1980—January, 1981 world tour and what percentage of the total cost of the trip will be paid by Canadian taxpayers has not been answered to date. As this is information that every Canadian should be entitled to, I would implore the Parliamentary Secretary to do whatever has to be done to come clean with the Canadian public.

Mr. Evans: Madam Speaker, I realize the urgency of the Hon. Member's question and I certainly will look into that immediately. I should note, however, that to this date, 4,244 questions have been answered, it being almost 85 per cent of all of the questions that have been asked of the Government.

[Translation]

Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): I would ask, Madam Speaker, that all notices of motions for production of papers be allowed to stand.

Madam Speaker: Shall the notices of motions for production of papers be allowed to stand?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.