(6) Certain questions have been raised as to the rights to pass Order in Council P.C. 422:—

(1) Does the Navigable Waters Protection Act give the Governor General in Council the right to authorize the diversion of the water from a navigable river?

(2) Can any of the powers given under that Act to the Governor

General in Council be delegated to a Minister, or to anyone?

(3) Is the right of the Governor General in Council limited to the approval of plans already submitted, i.e., can the Governor General in

Council approve of plans to be submitted in the future.

(4) Can the Governor General in Council approve of the plans after the work has been done or partly done, or in the alternative is his power limited to approval of work the plans of which have been submitted before the commencement of the work.

(7) Your Committee finds as a fact that the work of construction is proceeding according to plans which have not received the approval of the Governor in Council or of the Minister of Public Works.

7. HUNGRY BAY DYKE

- (1) The Province of Canada in 1856 and subsequent year, constructed a dyke along the shore of that part of Lake St. Francis known as Hungry Bay. This dyke at Confederation passed to the control of the Dominion of Canada, and it has since been maintained through the agency of the Federal Department of Railways and Canals. It will be necessary before water can be diverted to the canal from Lake St. Francis that permission be obtained from the Crown in the right of the Dominion of Canada to breach this dyke.
- (2) An application was made on the 29th day of July, 1929, for a conveyance to the Company of that part of the dyke opposite the lands owned by the Beauharnois Company, to the extent of 9,064 feet measured along the dyke. This application is now pending.

8. Ambiguity in Order in Council.

- (1) Condition Number 3 of Order in Council P.C. 422 provides that "the diversion of water shall not at any time exceed the maximum quantity of 40,000 cubic feet per second." If this means that at no time can the quantity of water diverted exceed 40,000 cubic feet per second, it is doubtful whether 500,000 h.p can be developed by the use of that quantity of water, even adding thereto the 13,072 cubic second feet obtained by assignment of the Montreal Cotton Company's lease.
- (2) Your committee is of the opinion that any ambiguity in this respect should be removed.

9. CONTROL OF WATER

- (1) The present plans do not provide for the control of the water at the entrance to the proposed canal. It has been stated in evidence that for this purpose and for reasons of safety, some method of control should be adopted, whether by way of a dam and gates, or a control lock at this point.
- (2) Considerable time was spent by Mr. Henry in an endeavour to establish that proper control could be maintained by the Dominion authorities at the gates leading to the water wheels.
- (3) Your Committee was impressed with the idea that there should be some means of control at the entrance to the canal.