Following is the text of a resolution (L Doc. A/C.6/L.336) adopted by the Sixth Commit on November 18, 1954, by a vote of 46 in favo (including Canada) to O against, with 3 abste tions; and by the 32nd stainst, with 3 abste December 4, 1954, by aperd vour (including Canada) to O against, with 3 abste (including Canada) to O against, with 3 abste tions;

No. 54/36/UN9/13 REPORT OF THE INTERNATIONAL LAW COMMISSION ON THE WORK OF ITS SIXTH SESSION

Text of statement on November 15, 1954, by Mr. Charles Stein, Q.C., Canadian Representative in the Sixth Committee at the ninth session of the United Nations General Assembly, New York, on agenda item 49 - Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its sixth session Commission on the work of its sixth session

Note: The text of the resolution adopted by the Committee and the results of the voting are included at the end of the statement.

Voting . Results

	M	are	included	ask of prep	J ONU CEUCOUL	
	to the Gene	submitting	cotases d	its elevent	Assembly at question of of aggressio	
1.2	report on	bellisted s	gression a	ge gnining ag	of approach 10	
	definition	JISID B MI		all all	question of of aggressio	
					on the draft	

habtaah

resolution which is Document A/C.6/L.338 and to say resolution which is bocument arosof house the to buy that we are pleased to be associated with the dis-tinguished delegations of Brazil, Denmark and India in submitting this proposal. In my statement last Friday, November 12, I set out the reasons which we feel warrant the procedure we are now proposing However in the

I set out the reasons which we reel warrant the procedure we are now proposing. However, in order to avoid any misunderstanding, I wish to make clear the object of this resolution and the intention of

The text we are submitting does express the view that the question of a draft definition of aggression and the question of a draft code of offences against the peace and security of mankind offences against the peace and security of mankind are interdependent and it does propose the post-are interdependent and it does propose the postare interdependent and it does propose the post-ponement of the latter project. On the other hand, this does not mean that we advocate immediate adjournthis does not mean on this third item of our agenda. ment of the debate on this third item of our agenda. We have no intention of depriving members of the We have no interference of the question if the Committee of the opportunity to comment at this time on the substance of the question if they feel so disposed. Our thought in introducing this resolution now merely is that if it commends itself to the Committee it will provide an objective to wards which the debate might be directed.