

NEAL V. ROGERS—DIVISIONAL COURT—JULY 20.

*Conversion of Goods—Seizure under Chattel Mortgage—Method of Realising Property Seized—Damages—Forgery—Report of Master Varied on Appeal—Further Appeal.*]—The order of MIDDLETON, J., ante 1107, upon appeal from the report of an Official Referee, was affirmed by a Divisional Court (FALCONBRIDGE, C.J. K.B., TEETZEL and RIDDELL, JJ.) Reasons were given by RIDDELL, J., upon an examination of the evidence, for the conclusion of the Court, that it could not be found that MIDDLETON, J., had misapprehended the evidence or failed to give full effect to all of it, or that his order was in any respect wrong. The appeal was dismissed with costs. R. S. Robertson, for the plaintiff. C. A. Moss, for the defendants.