We are very happy to find space for two letters signed "M. D," and "A Subscriber," in reference to the case published by Dr. Carter in our May number, and continued in those of June and July. We have received a communication on the subject which must be postponed until next month, which we feel sure will be read with interest by our Subscribers, both from the dispassionate manner in which both sides of the question are argued, in a purely professional point of view, and also, from the care, with which it is evident to us, that the case has been analyzed. For ourselves, we can only say, that it has been with regret that we have perused the communications which have been published in another Journal in reference to this case, and we regret the more the occurrence of any circumstances which should have rendered such communications necessary. In inserting Dr. C.'s communication in our former numbers, it was done with a view to knowing both sides of the question; in inserting the two letters above referred to, we do it with a view of eliciting a calm and profit promising discussion of the case. We are quite prepared to answer the questions contained in "A Subscriber's" letter, but we purposely delay doing so.

We beg to acknowledge the receipt of the New York Journal of Medicine, edited by Samuel Forry, M. D., and of the Journal of Insanity. edited by Samuel Brigham, M. D., and the other gentlemen under whose charge the asylum at Utica is placed. The former is ably conducted, and contains original papers as well as judiciously selected extracts from foreign periodicals of its class; among which we particularly noticed, an analysis of the "Fifth Registration of England," by Mr. Farr.

The Journal is published every two months—or bi-monthly. By the bye, we see some discussion as to the meaning of bi-weekly and bi-monthly. We conceive the view of the Editor to be the correct one. Bi-weekly does not mean twice a week, but every fortnight—by semi-weekly however is understood twice a week, so with the other term; semi-monthly would unquestionably have given the idea entertained by the correspondent who complains.