

been followed by that of Lord Hannen, a very able and distinguished judge, and about the same time the cable despatches convey the intelligence of the death of Lord Justice Bowen, an equally distinguished and brilliant member of the bench. Sir James Stephen and Lord Hannen had retired some time before their decease. Lord Justice Bowen, it is stated, will be replaced by Sir Charles Russell, the attorney general in the present administration.

---

Mr. Justice Stephen on the bench displayed those powers of mind and body which distinguished him throughout his career. In the style of his judgments and his writings he resembled somewhat the late Mr. Justice Ramsay, of this province, and in intense application to duty surpassed both that judge and the late Mr. Justice Aylwin, remarkable as were the achievements of those eminent members of our bench. The *Law Journal* says, "he has been known to begin work on circuit at five o'clock on one morning and continue trying cases in a crowded court until three in the next"—a proceeding, of course, extremely uncomfortable to the subordinate officials and others in attendance.

---

The House of Lords, on the 2nd of March, rendered a judgment interesting to travellers. In the case of *Richardson et al. v. Rowntree*, their lordships held, affirming the decision of the Court of Appeal, that the holder of a passenger ticket was not bound, simply by delivery of the ticket, by the conditions inscribed thereon, the passenger knowing that there was printed matter on the ticket, but not having read it. The Lord Chancellor (Lord Herschell), Lords Watson, Ashbourne and Morris took part in the decision. This is in harmony with the decisions of our courts in analogous cases.