

Prud'homme, Tobin, Quilty and James, replaced this year by P. Murphy, McGuckin, R. Murphy, O'Reilly, Ross, McGee, Levêque and Sparrow. Levêque and Sparrow, however, have previously played on championship teams.

COMMENT IN HAMILTON.—HAMILTON

"HERALD."

The Tigers lost largely because of their inferior knowledge of the game, and because what luck there was fell to the College players. Individually the Hamilton men proved to be better, but collectively Capt. Gleeson's skilful generalship and the military-like obedience to orders of the Collegians brought victory to Ottawa. The tries scored by Lafleur and E. Murphy, after long runs practically unchecked, were somewhat fluky in their nature and at all other stages of the game the Tigers had the better of the play, and should have won.

Between Counsell and Gleeson there is little choice, but the Tigers' back division as a whole is superior, owing to McGuckin's weakness.

Smith and Fox at quarter showed up equally well, the College man, however, appearing better able to work combination plays with his captain, than his rival.

Between the forward lines there was little difference, the Collegians seeming to be best when play was closest to their goal, because at such times other players were massed behind them and assisted to push. The Hamilton wings were better, outplaying all their opponents except Lafleur, and demonstrated their title to be considered the best lot of wings in Canada.

With a little more experience, the same team of Tigers should have no difficulty in reversing the result. Capt. Counsell admits that he learned much that will help him next year to improve his men. No one has any kick to make over the officers, except that without exception they were eastern men, and the touch-line judges sometimes seemed to give College a little the better of their decisions.

HAMILTON "TIMES."

*The Times*, whose sporting editor reported the game in Montreal, from the touch-line, gives Ottawa College credit for playing scientific Rugby, and says that it was in the fine points of the game that the Canadian champions again won. Individually, the Ottawa College men were no better than the Tigers, excelling Hamilton in some points, being deficient in others. In mass plays, the College did its best work, but in straight scrimmage, Hamilton was superior. Hamilton wings were as fast as College, and surer tacklers, and Gleeson was the only half-back man for the College, who was as good as the Hamilton half-backs. *The Times* also thinks College had all the luck, but lays particular stress on the gentlemanly game played by the College.

COMMENT IN MONTREAL.—MONTREAL STAR.

It was certainly a grand game. Perhaps the finest that has ever been played in Montreal. Both teams were well matched, but Varsity had the advantage in generalship, and that was the reason of their victory. How the Hamilton people got it into their various heads that that they would be able to defeat Ottawa by at least fifteen points does not appear very plain. College is a better team than Hamilton, and a game between the two teams to-morrow would certainly result the same way. The Ottawa men were able to push Hamilton all over the field, and it was a mighty difficult matter to stop them. Hamilton wings generally succeeded in breaking through. Summing up, College was a better balanced team. Hamilton had the better wing men and College the better scrimmage and backs.

MONTREAL HERALD.

Ottawa won the game by supreme generalship. Hamilton got over the line twice as often, but failed in effective scoring. Ottawa's back line worked together like clockwork, and the first try paralyzed Hamilton and broke their heart, giving College practically the game. Gleeson outgeneralled Counsell, who was afraid to pass out, trusting to