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december 141012
vealed doetrine common to all the Pre testant seets is that Christ was, in some
sense or other, the Sariour of mankind;
yet some of them do not sdmit that they yet gome of them do not andit that they
are asved by His death, bat only by the extraordinary wisdom of His teaching and ho admirable exauple of ot union
Now where is the germ of
amorgot nuch $\boldsymbol{a}$ multiplieity of opiuionas? What anthority is to define what is
right and what is is rong-what is essen-
tial and what is not? How cas our
friends fail to nee that it is their printries and what sals not? how car our
fieple that private judgment that has prin-
ced to these differences, and that the enly
posible way to effect anion is to wo-
nounce the ty ystem that gave rise to division? The Cathollic notion of divine faith iw
to accept all God's truth on His divine anthority. To reject one doetrine ote
Son of God tanght is to give Him the lie. He did not say to His Apostles:
"Teash sill nations what you deem es-
sentisl and tundamental," but "Teech
all things


NOTES AND COMMENTS
 press reports, sung with "refined emo-
tional and spiritual expression." This
was the event in Toronto musical circeles last week. It was sid in our hearing
that this might be taken to indicate a
broadening spiritual vision and enlarged sympathies among the erstwhile disciples
of John Westey. Not a bit of it.
Pather, Rather, we should say, it accentuastes
their growing indifference to deanite
religious teaching. Methodist preaco-
ers will stand up one day and utter sundry blasphemies against the Holy Sacrifice, and, on the next, regale their ears
with masical strains that are intended
 at of designating a missionary to Ohing.
It woold be consoling to think otherwiee
but visible facts forbid.

Nor ARE the Methodists alone in wis.
That it is a characteristio of all He
sects evidence abounds. It is, indeed, not too much to say that as regards
religious belief outside the Catholie Church, words have lost all deth-
ite meaning. The Anglican nelaim to the
title Catholio is oue examp e of this. That the "Anglican Church is the Oath-
olic Church of the E olic Church of the English people "\$
an expression one often hears from the
lipy of Anglioan olergymen, totally oblivious the while to the fact that ohe
statement embodies a contradiotion in terms, and is, in oonsequence, an absumd-
ity. Of the same species is the conus.
uity theory (the claim that the Churek of England is the legitimate sueceess-
or of the pre - reformation Churc),
whieh not only sets the facts of history put forward as their
testiates
tion the Reformers. Bat it
fits in with the loose thinking of its poneats sud has a pretty sound, so, re-
gardess of consistency, has passed inco
the current terminology of the seet.
 gen of such mental gymnastics. One of
its denominational mininters pent \&ep-
tember in Hollsnd and writes to bis paper some account of his experiencee
there. He telis of a visit to St. Peter's
Kis. Leis. moral tablet to the memory of one \#ev.
John Robinoon, who was pastor of the
English Church "over against this spot 16091625 , whence at his proupting
went forth the Piugrim Fathers to
settle in New Eagland in 1620." hen, after some further partieulars, he busstz
into this litte flower of rihetoric: "Fhe
lotty undeoorated walls that tower suggest the rugged, stal wart character
of the men who looked to him as thair spiritual leader and went lorth at his
bididig to establifh a nation in which
religious liberty should be a a asio whinoiple." Now, this is a very neat little
speech, and, sas such, the Rev. $\mathbf{o}$.
Woodley has every right to be pron of
it. But when it is remembered that, although the desire to indulge their
pecular notions of worrahip without lit
or hindrance was the motive which
led the Pilgrim Fathers to America, re-
iigious liberty for others never once enapparent that the dritger was indulging
in $a$ litle hysteriol rhetoric. And
Anen it is further remembered the a period of over a century and a half
thees same Pilgrim Fathers and their
descendants reduced intilerance and these same Pilgrim Fathers and their
deseconatant readced intuleranece and
persection to an exact science, and
made the streets of New



