(From The Citizen, Nov. 13, 1886.)

"CERTAINTIES" IN RELIGION.

Editor of THE CITIZEN.

Sig.-"'Progressive," in your to-day's issue, asks "your intelligent readers which they would prefer-Mr. Cook's weak edition of the anthropomorphic Jawish deity, or the modern scientists' Jawish detty, or the modern scientists 'unknown and unknowable' power whence all things proceed' In response, I ask "Progressive" why he, or "the modern scientists" he quotes, should presume to say that any power whatever is not only "uuknown," but also But to say that any power whatev bot only "uuknown," bot "uuknowsble?" for though be, they, may possibly be able decide intelligently as to what they, may possibly us able to decide intelligently as to what is scinsly known, or "unknown" by man at present; it is evidently, upon the face of it, the very height of presumption for "Progressive," or for any other man (whether he considers himself a "modern scientist" or not) to pretend to decide that any power whatever is "unknow-able."

"Progressive" Were really as progressive as he would have us soppose him to be, he would doubtless allow a little for his own progressiveness, and for the progressiveness also of the human family generally, and thus be disposed to generally, a admit that the seemingly "man knowshle" of to-day may be-come the knowshle of to-morrow.

Asi to "the anthropomorphic Jewish deiry," which "Progressive" refers to so derig, which "Progressive" refers to so snearingly, how can be be so sure that the Oreator of man is not anthronomorphic (or like man), in a certain sense, even as the oak recemay be said to be like the acorn? The oak tree originated from the acorn and the acorn develops into the oak tree; consequently they are alike, the difference being merely a matter of progressiveness. I am quite confident that it is in this sense that man is said to be "created in the image and after "created in the image and after the likeness of God," our Ureator. Can "Progressive," or "the modern scientists" prove to the contrary? Should anyone imagine that he can, I now challenge him to a public discussion of the question, in any way that may be most convenient for those interested in the satisfactory solution of a problem so very imperfectly understood bitherio, though of the numost importance and significance to all of us

Faithfully yours,

HENRY WENTWORTH MONK. Ottawa, Canada, 12th November, 1886