

“ care of the matters to which they referred ; and
 “ because it was, as he conceives, a breach of de-
 “ cency in the proceedings to refuse them the
 “ common attention of being considered by a
 “ committee.”—“ When we state these proceed-
 “ ings to your Majesty, we would not wish to con-
 “ vey any idea that it is upon them the late Go-
 “ vernor founds his reasons ~~reasons~~ for displacing
 “ the Chief-Justice, especially as your Majesty had
 “ in the eighth article of your royal instructions
 “ to your Governor, signified your express will
 “ and pleasure, that he should permit to all mem-
 “ bers of the Council, to have and enjoy freedom
 “ of debate and vote, in all affairs of public con-
 “ cern that might be debated in Council : but we
 “ lay these facts before your Majesty, simply as
 “ they stand on the minutes to which we are re-
 “ ferred, that no particular of the proceedings,
 “ wherein the Chief-Justice dissented from the
 “ prevailing sense of the Council, may be with-
 “ held from view on this examination ; at the
 “ same time also that we state these instances, in
 “ which the Chief-Justice divided against the ma-
 “ jority of the Council, we must in justice observe,
 “ that in case of certain propositions as the foun-
 “ dation of an ordinance, moved for by Mr. Cald-
 “ well, one of the said Council, and rejected by
 “ a majority, it is stated by Mr. Livius, that he
 “ took part in the rejection of those motions and
 “ the ordinance thereupon dependant.

“ We