Immigration Act, 1976

And more than five Members having risen:

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): Pursuant to Standing Order 114(11), a recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

Mr. Gray (Windsor West)): Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I understand that a number of motions are before us. It is the wish of the Official Opposition that if its amendments cannot be carried by voice vote, there be recorded votes on them.

Rather than have us go through this interesting and somewhat archaic procedure of shouting out the yeas and nays and then rising for each of the remaining motions, I wonder whether it would be the disposition of the House to agree to have the same results in respect of the three motions Your Honour has put to us so far apply to the others.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): I thank the Hon. Member for Windsor West (Mr. Gray) for his suggestion. There is one motion remaining in this grouping, Motion No. 9, standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Spadina (Mr. Heap). Mr. Heap moved:

Motion No. 9

That Bill C-55, be amended in Clause 14 by striking out line 29 at page 12 and substituting the following therefor:

"claim by notifying an immigration officer who shall refer the claim to the Refugee Division."

Do I have unanimous consent of the House to defer the division on this motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): Therefore, the recorded division on Motion No. 9 stands deferred.

I should like to refer to the next group of motions to be debated. Motions Nos. 11, 13, and 14 will be debated together. There will be a separate vote on Motion No. 11. An affirmative vote on Motion No. 13 obviates the necessity for a vote on Motion No. 14. However, a negative vote on Motion No. 13 requires a separate vote on Motion No. 14.

Mr. Sergio Marchi (York West) moved:

Motion No. 11

That Bill C-55, be amended in Clause 14 by striking out lines 46 to 49 at page 12 and lines 1 to 11 at page 13 and substituting the following therefor:

"47. Every person who claims to be a Convention refugee shall be referred to the Board for a hearing on the claim.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): Dealing with Motions Nos. 13 and 14, they were before the House on Friday, but I will reread them for the benefit of Hon. Members. Mr. Heap moved:

Motion No. 13

That Bill C-55, be amended in Clause 14 by deleting proposed Sections 48, 48.01, 48.02, 48.03, 48.04, 48.05, 48.06 and 48.07 at page 13 to 23 inclusive.

Mr. Marchi moved:

Motion No. 14

That Bill C-55, be amended in Clause 14

- (a) by striking out lines 15 to 19 at page 13

"shall determine whether the claimant should be permitted to come into Canada or to remain therein and whether the claimant is eligible to have the claim determined by the Refugee Division; and".

Mr. Marchi: Madam Speaker, as I understood you, the only motion before us for debate at this time is Motion No. 11.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): Motions Nos. 11, 13, and 14 will be debated together.

Mr. Marchi: I thought Your Honour said that Motions Nos. 13 and 14 were debated on Friday.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): They were introduced, but they are now part of a different grouping following the new ruling made by Mr. Speaker earlier this day.

We are now debating Motions Nos. 11, 13, and 14, and there will be a separate vote on Motion No. 11. The Hon. Member for York West (Mr. Marchi) has the floor.

• (1150)

Mr. Sergio Marchi (York West): Madam Speaker, I will begin with the words of the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Employment and Immigration (Mr. Friesen) who said a few moments ago that he was highly offended by the use of some words by some Hon. Members and he threw out the words "kangaroo court". He said we should not be using terms such as "kangaroo court". Then he proceeded very quickly to suggest that because we were moving Motion No. 6 in order to delete the prescreening clause, that somehow myself and other members of my Party and those who support Motion No. 6 were, in his words, in favour of criminals, terrorists, killers and other individuals. That is a highly irresponsible statement and it puts the debate into the gutter. His stand is very hypocritical to say the least. He suggested that the words "kangaroo court" were the wrong words but suggested that somehow he has a monopoly on virtue and that other Members of Parliament are in support of those various classes of individuals. Not only is that irresponsible, it is highly inaccurate. There is not one Member of Parliament, not one Canadian in this country, who is in favour of the number of different types of individuals which the Parliamentary Secretary had the temerity to

Motion No. 11 is similar to Motion No. 6 which was rejected by the Government. Motion No. 11 reads:

—by striking out lines 46 to 49 at page 12 and lines 1 to 11 at page 13 and substituting the following therefor:

"47. Every person who claims to be a Convention refugee shall be referred to the Board for a hearing on the claim."