

Legislation Respecting Railway Matters

was told this by the vice president of Czechoslovakia, one night, when we were guests at a party given in our honour by the president of Czechoslovakia, in some castle or other.

An hon. Member: A N.D.P. government in Czechoslovakia.

Mr. Caouette: Exactly, an N.D.P. government. Mr. Speaker, these are the systems which the N.D.P. people would like to establish in Canada. We do not want that system. That is the system which some union leaders would like us to have. They would like to take over and become members of the government, just like in Russia, in Czechoslovakia, in Sweden, in Norway, in all those countries. Their standard of living is way below ours. We will be told: Yes, but they are only beginning. Communism has been in existence in Russia for the past 50 years. When we look 50 years back and compare the situation in Canada with that of Russia, we see that the two countries were about even; but after 50 years of administration and development, today I say that we are definitely at least 25 years ahead of Russia with regard to the standard of living. We are ahead of them. We have everything in all our homes—even people who are on welfare here have a better life than workers in Russia. They enjoy more services.

This goes to show, Mr. Speaker, that socialist systems have many things in common. Under such systems the government belittles man at will. Oh, people have security, certainly. When I have a nice little dog in my house, I give it security. I feed it and pet it. I take care of it, but I control its freedom, I am the one who decides when it goes out and when it comes in. That is what they would like to do to the Canadian people.

Mr. Speaker, as I said in my communiqué, that handful of labour leaders consciously or unconsciously play into the hands of the communists; they never strike out against the true cause of the political, economic and social unrest, which is finance. They say nothing about that. When interest rates go up, Claude Jodoin does not say a word. Neither do Marcel Pepin, Mr. Laberge or the former president of the C.N.T.U., the Minister of Manpower. They accept the hike in interest rates. Let the minister go and tell that to his constituents at the next election and we will take care of him, Mr. Speaker. We will remind him of the promises he made during the 25 years; we will recall to his mind how

[Mr. Caouette.]

he sacrificed himself and gave of himself during the 25 years. He is not the same man since he has joined the Liberal party. Before, I thought he was a good man but not today, Mr. Speaker. Never do those labour leaders get to the true cause of political, economic and social unrest. As I have said, only the Cr ditistes offer a genuine solution by starting to put finance at the service of man.

An hon. Member: Through the Bank of Canada.

Mr. Caouette: Surely through the Bank of Canada, Mr. Speaker. I hear the hon. member for Lotbini re (Mr. Choquette)—

Mr. Choquette: Mr. Speaker, may I ask a question? It is because in 1963, I asked the hon. member for Villeneuve a question, as reported in *Hansard* on page 63. At that time, I asked to what extent unemployment would be reduced in Canada if Social Credit were to come to power and he answered: One hundred per cent and I enjoyed his reply very much. I will now ask him, if ever we have a Social Credit government in this country, whether all strikes would be abolished in Canada?

Mr. Caouette: I honestly think so, Mr. Speaker, and I shall tell him why. Because on the day when the purchasing power of individuals in Canada is on a par with the true production in this country, at such time, the income of the citizens will never exceed the production in Canada so that inflation will be impossible. Deflation will also be impossible, because the process will be automatic and as the people produce, income rises and as the people no longer want to produce, income diminishes.

Strikes are contrary to common sense, because production is decreased during a strike, and increased incomes are being sought, which is absolutely illogical.

Now to come back to my line of thought, Mr. Speaker. If the solutions offered by Social Credit do not seem logical, what can be said about the solutions offered under the present system which, year after year, every ten and every 20 years bring up the same problem without ever achieving a settlement? After the railroad strike, there will be another.

What we say is that when the worker is sure to have on hand the money or the credit to purchase the products made by him in Canada, then, Mr. Speaker, strikes will disappear but unions will remain to look after the